```
00:00:00.200 --> 00:00:02.000
You hearings resumed?
1
00:00:03.800 --> 00:00:06.600
Will go on to agenda item 5 traffic and
00:00:06.600 --> 00:00:09.500
 transport in just a couple of minutes. I want to keep us up
00:00:09.500 --> 00:00:13.100
 to date on the the action points
00:00:12.100 --> 00:00:15.400
 arising from this morning if I may
00:00:15.400 --> 00:00:17.300
 I have
00:00:18.200 --> 00:00:21.600
six rather having
00:00:26.300 --> 00:00:31.200
made a note of these points number one Suffolk
8
00:00:29.200 --> 00:00:32.600
 County Council and I
00:00:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.400
 think that's together with with Cambridge County
10
00:00:35.400 --> 00:00:38.300
 Council to set out their position on a
11
00:00:38.300 --> 00:00:41.100
 parcel by parcel basis as to the
12
00:00:41.100 --> 00:00:45.600
 scale of the residual impacts. And that's
```

```
13
00:00:44.600 --> 00:00:49.100
 deadline seven. These are
14
00:00:48.100 --> 00:00:50.600
all that deadline seven, by the way.
15
00:00:53.600 --> 00:00:57.900
Secondly, the applicant is to
16
00:00:57.900 --> 00:01:00.500
produce in conjunction with the
17
00:01:00.500 --> 00:01:03.700
 local authorities a schedule of
18
00:01:03.700 --> 00:01:07.700
the respective parties positions for
19
00:01:07.700 --> 00:01:11.300
 each parcel under scrutiny such
00:01:10.300 --> 00:01:14.000
 as the distance of setback
21
00:01:13.600 --> 00:01:16.400
 desired or offered as
22
00:01:16.400 --> 00:01:17.400
the case may be.
23
00:01:21.200 --> 00:01:25.400
Thirdly the applicant to submit a
24
00:01:24.400 --> 00:01:27.800
plan and details of
25
00:01:27.800 --> 00:01:30.500
the crossing points of the
```

```
00:01:30.500 --> 00:01:32.800
 cable route and access track.
27
00:01:33.800 --> 00:01:34.900
at the Avenue
28
00:01:37.600 --> 00:01:41.000
Number Four Suffolk County
29
00:01:40.300 --> 00:01:43.900
 Council to clarify its response
30
00:01:43.900 --> 00:01:48.700
 to execute 2.0.9
31
00:01:46.700 --> 00:01:50.100
 relating to
32
00:01:50.100 --> 00:01:53.600
 the setback from u6006.
00:01:54.600 --> 00:01:56.500
in parcel e13
34
00:01:57.400 --> 00:02:00.700
shown on the map submitted. I
35
00:02:00.700 --> 00:02:02.000
 think this was the map submitted.
36
00:02:04.100 --> 00:02:07.200
by a Suffolk County Council in response to
37
00:02:07.200 --> 00:02:08.600
 execute 2
38
00:02:09.400 --> 00:02:11.200
That's Mr. Beth is not. Thank you.
39
00:02:12.600 --> 00:02:15.700
And then fourthly Suffolk County
```

```
40
00:02:15.700 --> 00:02:19.100
 Council to propose wording. I don't
41
00:02:19.100 --> 00:02:22.200
 know whether that's going to be a solo perhaps a joint effort with
42
00:02:22.200 --> 00:02:25.100
 the local authorities, but wait to see
43
00:02:25.100 --> 00:02:28.700
 proposed wording in schedule 1.
44
00:02:30.500 --> 00:02:33.600
To the dco and with reference
45
00:02:33.600 --> 00:02:36.700
to the design of the proposed development so as
46
00:02:36.700 --> 00:02:40.100
to exclude if appropriate panels.
00:02:41.700 --> 00:02:43.300
And that's a deadline seven.
48
00:02:47.200 --> 00:02:50.400
And then sixthly the applicant to provide
49
00:02:50.400 --> 00:02:53.900
an explanation of how in the
50
00:02:53.900 --> 00:02:56.700
 eventuality of further panels being
51
00:02:56.700 --> 00:02:59.600
 removed more powerful panels
52
00:02:59.600 --> 00:03:02.800
on the remaining sites could be utilized
```

```
00:03:02.800 --> 00:03:06.100
 and in what circumstances and
54
00:03:05.100 --> 00:03:07.300
with what effects?
55
00:03:11.700 --> 00:03:15.700
Does fairly summarize the the action points
56
00:03:14.700 --> 00:03:17.400
Mr. Turney is that
00:03:17.400 --> 00:03:20.300
thank you Richard attorney for the upcoming. I think it does and
I'm grateful
58
00:03:20.300 --> 00:03:23.100
but can I just clarify just so we all know what
59
00:03:23.100 --> 00:03:26.600
we're doing the schedule of the respective party's position
60
00:03:26.600 --> 00:03:30.300
 is in relation to landscape mitigation
61
00:03:29.300 --> 00:03:32.500
 for each parcel rather than
62
00:03:32.500 --> 00:03:35.500
more generally so we don't need to in that cover and there's
63
00:03:35.500 --> 00:03:38.100
 a point about ecology and there's a point about archeology or
anything like
64
00:03:38.100 --> 00:03:41.600
that. It's landscape mitigation. I think it was principally
landscape
65
00:03:41.600 --> 00:03:44.300
 mitigation that we were interested in on that. Yes.
```

```
66
00:03:44.300 --> 00:03:44.800
Thank you.
67
00:03:45.700 --> 00:03:48.200
And so Michael Bedford something
00:03:48.200 --> 00:03:51.800
else. I had a similar clarification in terms of action point
69
00:03:51.800 --> 00:03:54.000
 one in terms of
70
00:03:54.500 --> 00:03:55.700
the residual impacts.
71
00:03:56.500 --> 00:03:59.900
And again, we use their looking to information on
72
00:03:59.900 --> 00:04:02.900
 landscape and visual residual impacts
73
00:04:02.900 --> 00:04:05.600
 rather than across all environmental disciplines
74
00:04:05.600 --> 00:04:08.100
 indeed. Yes. I thought you were but
75
00:04:08.100 --> 00:04:11.300
I just clarify. Thank you. Thank you, Mr.
76
00:04:11.300 --> 00:04:14.500
 Bedford, Mr. Mohammed just in
77
00:04:14.500 --> 00:04:17.700
terms of whether we were going to get some clarification
00:04:17.700 --> 00:04:19.300
on.
00:04:20.200 --> 00:04:23.600
```

```
the cable routing the horizontal directional drilling
80
00:04:23.600 --> 00:04:26.300
 that we talked about earlier where the trees were
81
00:04:26.300 --> 00:04:29.400
 in relation to I'm talking about the the Avenue now
82
00:04:29.400 --> 00:04:32.200
 remember the conversations we had so
83
00:04:32.200 --> 00:04:34.400
that's the only thing was we had questions about
84
00:04:35.700 --> 00:04:39.600
the species of the trees the sort
85
00:04:39.600 --> 00:04:43.500
of inconsistencies between tree losses
86
00:04:43.500 --> 00:04:47.200
that were being reported in the arboricultural report
87
00:04:47.200 --> 00:04:50.200
 as opposed to the master plan just and I can
00:04:50.200 --> 00:04:52.300
 send this as an email to my land of friends.
89
00:04:53.700 --> 00:04:56.000
Colleagues to clarify but just it might be
90
00:04:56.700 --> 00:04:59.700
 an action point in relation to the Avenue. And yes, what
91
00:04:59.700 --> 00:05:02.400
 is to be lost? I don't know if you well. I'll just
92
00:05:02.400 --> 00:05:05.700
 in fact Mrs. Taylor to to comment and
```

```
93
00:05:05.700 --> 00:05:08.000
this is with reference to item three, isn't it?
94
00:05:08.300 --> 00:05:11.400
And I I had noted that you would email those points
00:05:11.400 --> 00:05:12.300
through but
96
00:05:14.600 --> 00:05:18.300
Yes, the point that I was seeking was clarification specifically
97
00:05:17.300 --> 00:05:20.800
 in relation to the cable route
98
00:05:20.800 --> 00:05:23.800
Crossing and the access trough track
99
00:05:23.800 --> 00:05:24.900
 crossing of the Avenue.
100
00:05:26.700 --> 00:05:30.000
Through those detailed plans. Hopefully it should then
101
00:05:29.200 --> 00:05:31.600
 become clear whether or not
102
00:05:32.400 --> 00:05:35.900
trees specific trees are potentially affected
103
00:05:35.900 --> 00:05:37.000
by those Crossings.
104
00:05:44.200 --> 00:05:47.700
Okay, that's we in dealing
105
00:05:47.700 --> 00:05:50.700
with action point three. If Mr. Mohamed can
106
00:05:50.700 --> 00:05:54.100
```

```
always clients can send us his questions then
107
00:05:53.100 --> 00:05:56.100
we'll make sure we either pick them up in dealing with that
108
00:05:56.100 --> 00:05:58.800
 Ocean Point or give them a response directly. Yes.
109
00:06:00.400 --> 00:06:00.800
0kay.
110
00:06:03.100 --> 00:06:06.200
Good. Okay. Thanks everyone. I'll now hand over to
111
00:06:06.200 --> 00:06:09.300
Mr. Rigby for a gender item 5.
112
00:06:10.700 --> 00:06:11.800
Thank you, Mr. Keane.
113
00:06:13.100 --> 00:06:14.500
Hello. Good afternoon, everyone.
114
00:06:15.300 --> 00:06:18.800
My name's Guy Rigby and I will lead on this item traffic
115
00:06:18.800 --> 00:06:21.800
 and transport where we now consider the traffic
116
00:06:21.800 --> 00:06:23.700
 and transport aspects of the application.
117
00:06:24.700 --> 00:06:27.600
During the construction operation and
118
00:06:27.600 --> 00:06:29.300
 decommissioning of the proposed development.
119
00:06:31.400 --> 00:06:35.100
We'll be referring particularly to the latest
```

```
120
00:06:34.100 --> 00:06:37.700
 version of the framework construction traffic
121
00:06:37.700 --> 00:06:39.700
management and transport plan.
122
00:06:40.800 --> 00:06:43.200
That is to save revision for submitted at
123
00:06:43.200 --> 00:06:47.400
 deadline five, which is rep 5:015 in
124
00:06:47.400 --> 00:06:47.700
the library.
125
00:06:49.400 --> 00:06:54.400
the associated Alex's 5:021 through
126
00:06:54.400 --> 00:06:55.900
5042
127
00:06:57.300 --> 00:07:00.500
our first and second written questions, which are
128
00:07:00.500 --> 00:07:04.000
 procedural decisions 017 and 021.
129
00:07:06.100 --> 00:07:09.600
The applicants responses to our first and second written questions
130
00:07:09.600 --> 00:07:13.400
which are rep to o37 and
131
00:07:12.400 --> 00:07:15.000
 rep 5:056.
132
00:07:17.100 --> 00:07:20.300
The council's responses to our first written questions,
133
00:07:20.300 --> 00:07:23.700
```

```
which are rep3a049.
134
00:07:25.100 --> 00:07:28.700
That's the joint council's response and also the
00:07:28.700 --> 00:07:31.500
 Suffolk County Council response to our second written
136
00:07:31.500 --> 00:07:34.500
 questions, which is at rep 5 0 8
137
00:07:34.500 --> 00:07:34.700
138
00:07:36.500 --> 00:07:39.500
Then there's the applicants responses to other parties
139
00:07:39.500 --> 00:07:43.300
 deadline for submissions, which is at rep 5:058.
140
00:07:45.300 --> 00:07:48.300
The applicants draft protective Provisions for the benefits
141
00:07:48.300 --> 00:07:51.400
 of the local Highway authorities, which were
142
00:07:51.400 --> 00:07:54.500
 accepted by us the examining Authority
143
00:07:54.500 --> 00:07:56.000
 as an additional submission.
144
00:07:56.800 --> 00:08:00.100
Which is as319 on the
145
00:07:59.100 --> 00:08:01.100
 3rd of February of this year.
146
00:08:02.200 --> 00:08:05.800
And finally the latest version of the development consent
```

```
147
00:08:05.800 --> 00:08:08.200
 order, which is revision for submitted at
148
00:08:08.200 --> 00:08:09.000
 deadlines 6.
00:08:09.900 --> 00:08:12.400
Which is rep 6 0 1
150
00:08:12.400 --> 00:08:12.900
151
00:08:15.200 --> 00:08:16.500
I notes.
152
00:08:18.600 --> 00:08:21.200
here today for Suffolk County Council we have
153
00:08:22.300 --> 00:08:26.400
Michael Bedford, KC and Julia Cox
154
00:08:26.400 --> 00:08:28.500
who is the Senior Transport?
155
00:08:29.900 --> 00:08:31.800
that correct Senior Transport officer
00:08:33.400 --> 00:08:37.000
and further from Cambridge that we have Hashima Hamid,
157
00:08:36.700 --> 00:08:39.800
 but that certain people
158
00:08:39.800 --> 00:08:42.100
 are not feeling too good today. Do you have anybody else
159
00:08:42.100 --> 00:08:46.100
with you? Yes, I have missed Rhodes here who will
160
00:08:45.100 --> 00:08:48.300
```

```
speak to some of the points but actually most of
161
00:08:48.300 --> 00:08:51.600
 our substantive points will be contained in
162
00:08:51.600 --> 00:08:54.100
 the deadline seven comments.
163
00:08:55.700 --> 00:08:57.200
That's great. But at least
164
00:09:00.300 --> 00:09:03.200
You have the opportunity to hear what's being asked and you
165
00:09:03.200 --> 00:09:06.300
 can assist us as far as you can that be great. Thank
166
00:09:06.300 --> 00:09:06.400
you.
167
00:09:08.400 --> 00:09:11.600
I've set the agenda out in a sort of hierarchical. So
168
00:09:11.600 --> 00:09:14.200
 sorry Mister Rigby. I forgot to mention
00:09:14.200 --> 00:09:17.500
 that under the item outstanding matters. We
170
00:09:17.500 --> 00:09:21.200
 do have something to just say about trees I
171
00:09:20.200 --> 00:09:23.600
 can comment on that briefly when we get to that stage.
172
00:09:23.600 --> 00:09:26.500
 It's just it does that relate to highways matter.
173
00:09:26.500 --> 00:09:29.500
That's fine in particular access the access is yes. There
```

```
174
00:09:29.500 --> 00:09:32.200
 is always, you know over there now, it's fine. Thank you.
175
00:09:33.300 --> 00:09:36.300
So I want to start off with the ports that
176
00:09:36.300 --> 00:09:39.500
 things come from the abnormal indivisible loads and
177
00:09:39.500 --> 00:09:40.400
 the crane routes.
178
00:09:41.100 --> 00:09:43.300
And the impacts and consents associated.
179
00:09:44.400 --> 00:09:47.300
With those and then move along to
180
00:09:47.300 --> 00:09:50.200
 have a good vehicle routes and the forecast impacts.
181
00:09:51.200 --> 00:09:54.200
Which then leads us on to how they access the site.
182
00:09:54.200 --> 00:09:56.300
 So we've got the site access considerations.
183
00:09:58.300 --> 00:10:01.900
Then the traffic management and regulation thinking both of
184
00:10:01.900 --> 00:10:04.300
 construction position and the
185
00:10:04.300 --> 00:10:07.400
 position during operation, which we understand will be
186
00:10:07.400 --> 00:10:10.700
 somewhat different and then of course returning at
187
00:10:10.700 --> 00:10:12.800
```

```
the end to the decommissioning at 40 years.
188
00:10:14.200 --> 00:10:18.300
Bullet point five is related to the proposed
189
00:10:17.300 --> 00:10:21.200
 protective provisions and side agreements. I
190
00:10:20.200 --> 00:10:23.300
 want to have a discussion about
191
00:10:23.300 --> 00:10:26.300
 and then have left item six open in case I've forgotten anything
just
192
00:10:26.300 --> 00:10:27.700
 outstanding that as an also.
193
00:10:28.900 --> 00:10:31.000
Just so we know where we've got to at the end of
00:10:31.100 --> 00:10:34.400
this hearing I think might be helpful for all parties particularly
195
00:10:34.400 --> 00:10:37.400
 I say deadline sevens a couple of weeks away. So you
196
00:10:37.400 --> 00:10:40.100
 do have an opportunity you guys to do a bit of a
197
00:10:40.100 --> 00:10:43.400
 hot tub before you put your submissions in so that helps us.
198
00:10:44.300 --> 00:10:47.000
Know where you both are on these matters.
00:10:48.200 --> 00:10:48.400
S0
200
00:10:49.700 --> 00:10:50.700
```

```
let's move along.
201
00:10:52.500 --> 00:10:55.800
To the first part which is ports abnormal indivisible
00:10:55.800 --> 00:10:58.700
 loads and crane routes that
203
00:10:58.700 --> 00:11:01.600
 knowledgeing that there are abnormal loads that are
204
00:11:01.600 --> 00:11:04.500
 not indivisible, but at the moment we're just concentrating
205
00:11:04.500 --> 00:11:05.800
 on the really big ones.
206
00:11:07.400 --> 00:11:10.100
So we know the applicants response to.
207
00:11:12.500 --> 00:11:15.600
first written question xq1.10.5
208
00:11:16.700 --> 00:11:19.300
in respect to the consideration of the ports of ips
209
00:11:19.300 --> 00:11:19.900
which illuminum
210
00:11:20.700 --> 00:11:24.000
and to our first written question xq
211
00:11:23.500 --> 00:11:27.000
 1.10.6 in respect
212
00:11:26.100 --> 00:11:29.300
 of the need for a detailed operational assessment of
213
00:11:29.300 --> 00:11:30.400
 the routes to be used.
```

```
214
00:11:31.500 --> 00:11:34.100
to transport the abnormal indivisible loads
215
00:11:35.200 --> 00:11:37.000
to each of the sites in the proposed development
00:11:38.700 --> 00:11:40.900
We also note the council's comments.
217
00:11:42.300 --> 00:11:45.700
We'd hope to see a port strategy document and a detailed
218
00:11:45.700 --> 00:11:48.100
 physical feasibility report somewhere in
219
00:11:48.100 --> 00:11:51.800
 the application which would outline which ports were under
consideration at
220
00:11:51.800 --> 00:11:54.100
 this stage and the rationale for this
221
00:11:54.100 --> 00:11:57.600
 and which would include a root inspection and assessments of
222
00:11:57.600 --> 00:11:59.200
 the feasibility of transporting.
223
00:12:00.200 --> 00:12:03.300
so now three four hundred kilovolt Transformers
224
00:12:04.100 --> 00:12:05.900
from the docks to the proposed development.
225
00:12:07.100 --> 00:12:10.600
So that will be identifying any restrictions further assessments
226
00:12:10.600 --> 00:12:11.400
 that might be needed.
```

```
00:12:12.500 --> 00:12:15.700
Parking and signage issues on routes and temporary
228
00:12:15.700 --> 00:12:18.000
 diversions for instance for emergency vehicles.
229
00:12:19.600 --> 00:12:22.800
We also know the joint council's responses
230
00:12:22.800 --> 00:12:24.000
 to the applicant's response.
231
00:12:24.600 --> 00:12:25.700
So in respect of
232
00:12:26.800 --> 00:12:29.700
question exq1.10.5
233
00:12:30.700 --> 00:12:33.300
stating that the Strategic route Network may
234
00:12:33.300 --> 00:12:35.200
 not be capable of carrying these loads.
235
00:12:35.900 --> 00:12:38.900
The Joint council's response sites
236
00:12:38.900 --> 00:12:41.400
 the A14 Hillhouse violets in
237
00:12:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.700
 stone markets and notes that special order movements from
238
00:12:44.700 --> 00:12:47.600
 Ipswich to Burwell are rooted via local roads
239
00:12:47.600 --> 00:12:49.200
 through new markets and Stone Market.
240
00:12:49.900 --> 00:12:53.300
So for instance the relatively recent
```

```
241
00:12:53.300 --> 00:12:56.400
National Grid Transformer movement, which we did inquire about
242
00:12:56.400 --> 00:12:57.300
 in our questions.
243
00:12:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.100
And they helpfully supplied a
244
00:13:01.100 --> 00:13:02.400
 reference to an example document.
245
00:13:03.500 --> 00:13:08.100
And further in question. 1.10.6
246
00:13:09.100 --> 00:13:12.400
Stating that such feasibility work has in other cases been
247
00:13:12.400 --> 00:13:15.000
 done prior to or during examination.
248
00:13:16.100 --> 00:13:19.800
And then a reference to an example document was also provided
249
00:13:19.800 --> 00:13:22.200
 in the short and council's response
250
00:13:22.200 --> 00:13:23.100
to that question.
251
00:13:26.300 --> 00:13:29.400
In our view and in view of the dco regime encouraging
252
00:13:29.400 --> 00:13:32.300
 as many consensus possible being rolled into the order.
253
00:13:33.400 --> 00:13:36.600
Such a feasibility study and assessment is necessary because
254
```

```
00:13:36.600 --> 00:13:39.600
 although the ports may have sufficient Road access infrastructure
255
00:13:39.600 --> 00:13:42.000
 as far as strategic Road Network.
256
00:13:43.500 --> 00:13:46.300
We don't consider that strategic Road network is
257
00:13:46.300 --> 00:13:49.200
 necessarily capable of carrying such loads all the
258
00:13:49.200 --> 00:13:51.100
way from whichever port.
259
00:13:51.800 --> 00:13:54.100
To the A14 a11 in the vicinity of
260
00:13:54.100 --> 00:13:54.900
 the proposed development.
261
00:13:56.200 --> 00:13:56.600
So this means
262
00:13:58.200 --> 00:14:01.400
to our mind the local Highway authorities need to be involved from
263
00:14:01.400 --> 00:14:02.100
 an early stage.
264
00:14:03.000 --> 00:14:03.500
S0
265
00:14:04.900 --> 00:14:07.300
I just wanted to put that to the
266
00:14:07.300 --> 00:14:09.000
 applicant first if I may.
267
00:14:11.500 --> 00:14:14.600
And thank you Rich turning
```

```
268
00:14:14.600 --> 00:14:17.800
 for the applicant. I'm going to introduce Mr. Chris Carter.
269
00:14:18.400 --> 00:14:21.700
Who can explain what we have done in
270
00:14:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.300
 respect of taking forward the
271
00:14:24.300 --> 00:14:27.800
 local Highway authorities issues that they've
272
00:14:27.800 --> 00:14:31.100
 raised on ails and routing.
273
00:14:33.100 --> 00:14:37.000
Okay, thank you Richard. And so as
274
00:14:36.200 --> 00:14:39.700
 by where the general update and there
275
00:14:39.700 --> 00:14:42.900
 are a number of matters that have been moving on for it
276
00:14:42.900 --> 00:14:45.400
 whilst the examination has been progressing and we met
277
00:14:45.400 --> 00:14:48.700
with the with the two authorities and on the
278
00:14:48.700 --> 00:14:51.500
 8th of February to provide an update and hopefully
279
00:14:51.500 --> 00:14:54.500
we can report back some positive progress and through
280
00:14:54.500 --> 00:14:57.500
 this examination hearing and in with
```

281

```
00:14:57.500 --> 00:14:57.900
 respect to the
282
00:14:58.900 --> 00:15:01.500
AOL routes at the request of the
283
00:15:01.500 --> 00:15:04.500
 authorities and we've commissioned the
284
00:15:04.500 --> 00:15:07.400
 applicant as commissioned a whole year to review the
285
00:15:07.400 --> 00:15:10.700
 route in full between the port
286
00:15:10.700 --> 00:15:13.700
 of Ipswich and the required parts
287
00:15:13.700 --> 00:15:16.400
 of the of the development where the abnormal loads
00:15:16.400 --> 00:15:20.200
 needed need to transfer need to travel and that
289
00:15:19.200 --> 00:15:22.600
 reports and is underway
290
00:15:22.600 --> 00:15:25.400
 at the moment and the whole year
291
00:15:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.300
 and the holiest report will be introduced to the
292
00:15:28.300 --> 00:15:31.200
 into the examination at the next deadline.
293
00:15:32.400 --> 00:15:34.800
Thank you. Is that Justin respects of Ipswich?
294
00:15:36.100 --> 00:15:39.300
Ipswich is the IPS which is the port that it's
```

```
295
00:15:39.300 --> 00:15:41.500
 very it's it's most likely that
296
00:15:42.900 --> 00:15:46.100
that the applicant will use and also
297
00:15:45.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.500
 to report that the the Holier that
298
00:15:48.500 --> 00:15:51.400
we've used are and specialists in this and
299
00:15:51.400 --> 00:15:54.400
 they've also and they they have
300
00:15:54.400 --> 00:15:57.600
 experience of transporting similar size loads from
301
00:15:57.600 --> 00:16:00.100
 the Ipswich ports through to Fruita.
302
00:16:00.900 --> 00:16:03.400
So that they'd be familiar with the high and heavy load
303
00:16:03.400 --> 00:16:03.700
 route map.
304
00:16:04.400 --> 00:16:05.000
Absolutely.
305
00:16:06.800 --> 00:16:07.100
Thank you.
306
00:16:08.600 --> 00:16:11.500
Is there anything you can tell us particularly at the moment or do
307
00:16:11.500 --> 00:16:14.400
we need to wait for deadlines 7 because that's two weeks away. I'm
just thinking if
```

```
308
00:16:14.400 --> 00:16:15.200
there's anything you can.
309
00:16:16.900 --> 00:16:19.600
Let us have in terms of emerging findings that
310
00:16:19.600 --> 00:16:22.500
would be useful if we could hear those
311
00:16:22.500 --> 00:16:25.700
 and I I really would like to but unfortunately
312
00:16:25.700 --> 00:16:28.500
we've not been able to get an update and an update
313
00:16:28.500 --> 00:16:29.600
 for today. Sorry, sir.
314
00:16:30.300 --> 00:16:32.100
Right. Thank you that they that we will.
315
00:16:33.500 --> 00:16:36.800
Try to issuing it that to the deadline seven.
316
00:16:36.800 --> 00:16:39.600
We will of course appraise the
317
00:16:39.600 --> 00:16:42.700
 local authorities of the root outcomes and
318
00:16:42.700 --> 00:16:45.900
 also the local authorities have been contacted by
319
00:16:45.900 --> 00:16:49.000
 the whole year as part of yes part
320
00:16:48.100 --> 00:16:51.300
 of the routine. Yes that would assist greatly because
321
00:16:51.300 --> 00:16:54.400
```

```
to my mind that would assist the local authorities in
322
00:16:54.400 --> 00:16:56.200
 there deadline seven submissions.
323
00:16:57.500 --> 00:16:58.400
Absolutely, sir. Thank you.
324
00:16:59.900 --> 00:17:00.300
50
325
00:17:01.300 --> 00:17:03.800
Suffolk County Council first would you like to
326
00:17:04.900 --> 00:17:05.800
Make any comment, please.
327
00:17:07.900 --> 00:17:10.600
Thank you, sir. Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council.
328
00:17:12.300 --> 00:17:15.800
Well, so we obviously welcome the
329
00:17:15.800 --> 00:17:18.900
 engagement of a Holier
00:17:18.900 --> 00:17:21.800
with relevant expertise to assist on this
331
00:17:21.800 --> 00:17:25.300
 matter. We share the examining authorities
332
00:17:24.300 --> 00:17:29.200
 views. This feasibility evidence
333
00:17:27.200 --> 00:17:30.400
 is something that
334
00:17:30.400 --> 00:17:34.700
 is vital to inform the examination. Say
```

```
335
00:17:33.700 --> 00:17:36.300
we we have been making as
336
00:17:36.300 --> 00:17:39.200
 it were a similar Point through our dialogue with
337
00:17:39.200 --> 00:17:43.700
the applicant and in our representations, here's
338
00:17:42.700 --> 00:17:45.500
the two and we are pleased
339
00:17:45.500 --> 00:17:48.800
therefore that the applicant will be a relatively late
340
00:17:48.800 --> 00:17:51.800
stage is now putting the wheels in motion. So
341
00:17:51.800 --> 00:17:55.200
we will look forward to receiving the
342
00:17:57.100 --> 00:18:00.900
results of that review as soon
343
00:18:00.900 --> 00:18:03.300
as practically available and we would
344
00:18:03.300 --> 00:18:06.500
certainly like to see that before deadline 7 if that
345
00:18:06.500 --> 00:18:09.100
proves to be possible.
346
00:18:10.300 --> 00:18:13.200
I I don't think we perhaps in the light of
347
00:18:13.200 --> 00:18:16.300
the fact that the applicant has moved as it
348
00:18:16.300 --> 00:18:19.300
```

```
were towards as on that and he's in the process of
349
00:18:19.300 --> 00:18:22.600
actively engaging I don't think we need to rehearse in particular
00:18:22.600 --> 00:18:25.300
why we think that was important and the issues that
351
00:18:25.300 --> 00:18:28.600
we've experienced with AI El movements relation
352
00:18:28.600 --> 00:18:31.500
to other infrastructure projects because in the sense we're now
353
00:18:32.200 --> 00:18:35.400
seeking to deal with that issue the walls
354
00:18:35.400 --> 00:18:38.500
one point which I think has been confirmed to
355
00:18:38.500 --> 00:18:41.600
us informally and it would be just helpful if
356
00:18:41.600 --> 00:18:44.500
it's possible. I appreciate what's just
00:18:44.500 --> 00:18:47.200
been said about limited information. So it might
358
00:18:47.200 --> 00:18:47.800
not be possible.
359
00:18:48.900 --> 00:18:51.900
But at one stage and this was
360
00:18:51.900 --> 00:18:54.900
 in the rep five o15 version
361
00:18:54.900 --> 00:18:58.200
of the ctmp paragraph 5.4.5.
```

```
362
00:18:57.200 --> 00:19:00.400
 It had suggested that the largest
363
00:19:00.400 --> 00:19:03.300
 load would be 200 tons, but we
364
00:19:03.300 --> 00:19:07.300
 understand that's not correct and it will be smaller than
365
00:19:06.300 --> 00:19:09.800
 that. It will be below the 150 tons,
366
00:19:09.800 --> 00:19:12.400
which I'm sure for those who spend all
367
00:19:12.400 --> 00:19:15.100
 their time on traffic movements and
368
00:19:15.100 --> 00:19:18.000
 special order traffic movements will know that there is a
369
00:19:18.400 --> 00:19:21.300
 distinction between a special order movement which would
370
00:19:21.300 --> 00:19:25.100
be above 150 and 150, which
371
00:19:24.100 --> 00:19:26.800
 is the ceiling for a
372
00:19:27.600 --> 00:19:30.800
Special types general order movement
373
00:19:30.800 --> 00:19:33.300
which is a category below and that goes
374
00:19:33.300 --> 00:19:36.400
to who has to be the consenting bodies that you liaise with
375
00:19:36.400 --> 00:19:39.500
```

```
for those it would just be helpful. If the applicant can confirm
376
00:19:39.500 --> 00:19:42.400
 to us what we understand informally is the case that the
377
00:19:42.400 --> 00:19:45.500
 ceiling that they're now working to is below
378
00:19:45.500 --> 00:19:48.400
 the 150. That would just be helpful if
379
00:19:48.400 --> 00:19:51.400
 it's possible to confirm that so if
380
00:19:51.400 --> 00:19:54.900
 it helps that is confirmed I don't I'll defer
381
00:19:54.900 --> 00:19:57.100
to Mr. Bedford and significance but
382
00:19:57.100 --> 00:20:00.700
 on the guestion of whether the maximum
383
00:20:00.700 --> 00:20:04.300
 load with confirmed that it's below 150 tons.
00:20:05.600 --> 00:20:08.300
The maximum load that we anticipate is likely
385
00:20:08.300 --> 00:20:11.600
 to be 130 tons. So Below 150 ton
386
00:20:11.600 --> 00:20:14.400
 threshold that he's referred to fine because we'd want
387
00:20:14.400 --> 00:20:18.000
 obviously want the assessment work that you're doing to be useful
388
00:20:17.500 --> 00:20:20.000
 and be relatable to what's actually going to
```

```
389
00:20:20.000 --> 00:20:20.300
happen.
390
00:20:21.300 --> 00:20:24.200
So it's not going to be much use if you end up, you know that ends
00:20:24.200 --> 00:20:25.800
 up being 180 for instance.
392
00:20:26.800 --> 00:20:29.800
I can confirm the assessment work is based on a maximum
393
00:20:29.800 --> 00:20:30.500
 of 150.
394
00:20:31.400 --> 00:20:31.700
Thank you.
395
00:20:32.700 --> 00:20:35.400
That's helpful. That that's what
396
00:20:35.400 --> 00:20:38.100
we'd understood informally was the case, but it's helpful to have
397
00:20:38.100 --> 00:20:41.200
 that confirmed. I say beyond that. I don't know that it's
398
00:20:41.200 --> 00:20:44.700
 helpful to you to go into any further detail because I say we're
now
399
00:20:44.700 --> 00:20:47.300
 all awaiting the results of the applicants review.
400
00:20:48.100 --> 00:20:51.700
It would help I think if you could briefly outline
401
00:20:51.700 --> 00:20:53.100
what you'd expect to see.
```

402

```
00:20:54.200 --> 00:20:57.600
In that document so that there aren't any surprises when
403
00:20:57.600 --> 00:21:00.600
 you receive it and that'll help us with our
404
00:21:00.600 --> 00:21:03.300
 assessment of deadline seventh submissions and then coming on
405
00:21:03.300 --> 00:21:03.600
this point.
406
00:21:04.500 --> 00:21:08.500
So I'll do I'll do my best. Thank you in terms
407
00:21:08.500 --> 00:21:11.500
 of matters and then if necessary, I'll
408
00:21:11.500 --> 00:21:14.800
 ask Miss Cox to add anything
00:21:14.800 --> 00:21:17.300
further, but clearly so as
410
00:21:17.300 --> 00:21:18.000
 you will appreciate.
411
00:21:20.100 --> 00:21:23.700
Abnormal individual indivisible loads will
412
00:21:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.200
 require special treatment
413
00:21:26.200 --> 00:21:30.200
 as they Traverse the highway Network
414
00:21:29.200 --> 00:21:33.200
 and there are particular locations
415
00:21:32.200 --> 00:21:35.000
 on the network where one needs to
```

```
416
00:21:35.100 --> 00:21:38.100
be confirmed that either because of
417
00:21:38.100 --> 00:21:42.500
the presence of existing roadside structures
418
00:21:41.500 --> 00:21:44.400
all because of the nature of
419
00:21:44.400 --> 00:21:47.400
existing Highway infrastructure in the
420
00:21:47.400 --> 00:21:50.400
 roads traffic islands, and the like one needs
421
00:21:50.400 --> 00:21:53.500
to be able to understand the movements can actually make the
422
00:21:53.500 --> 00:21:55.000
journey that is intended.
423
00:21:56.600 --> 00:22:00.100
they're also particularly in relation to the Strategic Road
424
00:21:59.100 --> 00:22:02.600
Network limitations for
425
00:22:02.600 --> 00:22:06.200
some movements across all well Bridge the A14
426
00:22:05.200 --> 00:22:08.200
over the Orwell bridge and so one
427
00:22:08.200 --> 00:22:11.800
is that's particularly brings into bear the issues
428
00:22:11.800 --> 00:22:14.600
 in relation to the use of local roads, which obviously
429
```

```
00:22:14.600 --> 00:22:17.300
 is the responsibility of the County Council rather than
430
00:22:17.300 --> 00:22:21.700
National highways and effectively
431
00:22:20.700 --> 00:22:23.300
what we would like to see
432
00:22:23.300 --> 00:22:25.400
 is a review which
433
00:22:26.300 --> 00:22:29.000
on an informed basis which the use of
434
00:22:29.200 --> 00:22:31.100
 the specialist hallia will assist on
435
00:22:31.800 --> 00:22:34.500
enables us to know that in
436
00:22:34.500 --> 00:22:37.800
 terms of weight or height or other physical restrictions on
437
00:22:37.800 --> 00:22:40.400
 the availability routes that the movements can
438
00:22:40.400 --> 00:22:43.600
 actually be made by suitable routes without
439
00:22:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.700
 negative impacts either on
440
00:22:46.700 --> 00:22:49.900
 the highway Network Highway infrastructure or a
441
00:22:49.900 --> 00:22:52.600
 factory roadside properties that
442
00:22:52.600 --> 00:22:55.500
 may be affected by the movement. So all of
```

```
443
00:22:55.500 --> 00:22:58.900
 those I say we're confident that an experienced earlier
444
00:22:58.900 --> 00:23:01.600
will be able to address and that's what we're looking to
445
00:23:01.600 --> 00:23:01.800
 see.
446
00:23:02.700 --> 00:23:03.800
I don't know.
447
00:23:05.900 --> 00:23:08.500
I don't think well, we don't think you need anything further unless
448
00:23:08.500 --> 00:23:11.400
you've got particular question. I'm just I'm just thinking that
some
449
00:23:11.400 --> 00:23:12.600
 once we get.
450
00:23:14.200 --> 00:23:16.700
in proximity to the areas where these
451
00:23:18.100 --> 00:23:20.700
loads of got to actually finally arrive.
452
00:23:21.400 --> 00:23:24.300
Sometimes there are issues of things such as
453
00:23:24.300 --> 00:23:26.800
 telling everybody can't park the cars there for the next.
454
00:23:27.500 --> 00:23:30.300
24 hours and just stuff like that sounds really
455
00:23:30.300 --> 00:23:33.200
 neatly but it's just stuff that needs to be there and people
```

```
456
00:23:33.200 --> 00:23:36.300
 need to be aware of and need to know about so that's really
helpful. And
457
00:23:36.300 --> 00:23:37.500
 as I say if
458
00:23:38.500 --> 00:23:39.400
parties can
459
00:23:42.800 --> 00:23:45.800
look at that document before deadline seven. It men
460
00:23:45.800 --> 00:23:49.100
 means that you're both your submissions at
461
00:23:48.100 --> 00:23:52.300
 deadlines seven will be informed by what specialist device
462
00:23:51.300 --> 00:23:52.700
 comes in.
463
00:23:53.500 --> 00:23:56.600
And that will help us I think to take a
464
00:23:56.600 --> 00:23:58.300
 view on where we think we should be with it.
465
00:23:58.900 --> 00:24:01.400
So thanks very much for that. Are there
466
00:24:01.400 --> 00:24:05.000
 any other Cambridgeshire you wish to also comment
467
00:24:04.900 --> 00:24:06.500
 only thing to add?
468
00:24:08.200 --> 00:24:08.600
Thank you.
469
```

```
00:24:10.700 --> 00:24:13.100
Does anybody other party? Yeah, yes. Yes.
470
00:24:14.200 --> 00:24:14.800
sir
471
00:24:15.800 --> 00:24:18.600
So John steel Casey on
472
00:24:18.600 --> 00:24:21.400
 behalf of snds, we assume because
473
00:24:21.400 --> 00:24:24.300
 it's some of our constituents all my
474
00:24:24.300 --> 00:24:27.500
 clients are concerned about the over sailing Arrangements.
475
00:24:27.500 --> 00:24:30.400
We are just wishing to be confirmed that
476
00:24:30.400 --> 00:24:33.300
 no no changes whatsoever. As far
477
00:24:33.300 --> 00:24:37.100
 as we know as far as anybody knows just would
478
00:24:36.100 --> 00:24:39.200
 be able to confirm this in relation to
479
00:24:39.200 --> 00:24:42.300
 any of those over sailing requirements that are being sought
480
00:24:42.300 --> 00:24:45.800
 because of the load maximum. It's exactly the same as States and
481
00:24:45.800 --> 00:24:48.100
 all thank you. Thank you the case
482
00:24:48.100 --> 00:24:51.500
 if the African confirm there's no change to the configuration
```

```
483
00:24:51.500 --> 00:24:54.200
 of the AIL. It's just a matter of assessing whether it
484
00:24:54.200 --> 00:24:56.100
 can make the movements from Port.
485
00:24:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.300
Richard turning for the applicant. I don't know because we haven't
seen
486
00:25:00.300 --> 00:25:03.200
 the the report but I don't think
487
00:25:03.200 --> 00:25:06.200
 any change to oversailing is expected. No, we've assess
488
00:25:06.200 --> 00:25:10.100
 the over sailing because you you've made you've made your
assessment and
489
00:25:09.100 --> 00:25:12.500
 respect to the over sailing and respect of a certain configuration
of
490
00:25:12.500 --> 00:25:15.300
 low of that sailor. That's it. So that assessments
491
00:25:15.300 --> 00:25:19.800
 being made and and will remain the same.
492
00:25:19.800 --> 00:25:22.400
 I mean there may be it may
493
00:25:22.400 --> 00:25:25.800
be when the contractor gets to the particular Junctions where
494
00:25:25.800 --> 00:25:28.100
 there's a need to over sale that they say
495
00:25:28.100 --> 00:25:31.600
```

```
actually, you know, we might drive the truck around slightly
differently,
496
00:25:31.600 --> 00:25:34.700
but I don't need any change that
497
00:25:34.700 --> 00:25:37.500
 I don't anticipate that I need to change. I understand you really
498
00:25:37.500 --> 00:25:39.400
 submissions was that they were conservative.
499
00:25:40.300 --> 00:25:44.200
so consequently, yeah the trailer configuration
500
00:25:43.200 --> 00:25:44.700
which the
501
00:25:46.100 --> 00:25:49.900
Expert Warrior uses or adopts or whatever will
502
00:25:49.900 --> 00:25:52.300
be within what you've already got without
503
00:25:52.300 --> 00:25:55.300
 the correct. Absolutely. And also it's worth noting that
504
00:25:55.300 --> 00:25:58.400
 the trailer configuration is actually a bespoke to
505
00:25:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.000
 that Holier.
506
00:25:59.600 --> 00:26:02.400
And so they that that's their vehicle. Oh, yes.
507
00:26:02.400 --> 00:26:05.600
 I mean, there's only a handful of these these trailers around but
508
00:26:05.600 --> 00:26:08.200
 they buy that same token. It should
```

```
509
00:26:08.200 --> 00:26:10.800
 be pretty well known what the configuration is, so
510
00:26:12.300 --> 00:26:15.400
We have your assurance that the configuration in terms of over
511
00:26:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.400
 sailing and general movement is within what you've
512
00:26:18.400 --> 00:26:21.800
 already assessed in your sweatpath access drawings.
513
00:26:21.800 --> 00:26:24.400
The vehicle will be within it
514
00:26:24.400 --> 00:26:28.000
we expect it to be to be within the United
515
00:26:27.300 --> 00:26:30.400
 as we need if there was an issue when
516
00:26:30.400 --> 00:26:33.300
we need to know about that. Absolutely sir, and
517
00:26:33.300 --> 00:26:36.300
we expect to be able to report positively on that right? Thank you,
518
00:26:36.300 --> 00:26:37.900
Mr. Steele. Yes quickly.
519
00:26:38.500 --> 00:26:41.300
This matter in fact has been brought up by one or
520
00:26:41.300 --> 00:26:44.300
 two people who are directly affected by the over sailing as
521
00:26:44.300 --> 00:26:47.400
 to what it means and so on and so this is not just
```

522

```
00:26:47.400 --> 00:26:51.000
 a question of an idle question. No, no, would it
523
00:26:50.600 --> 00:26:53.700
be told positive back in us through you that the
524
00:26:53.700 --> 00:26:56.400
applicant could reassess what is
525
00:26:56.400 --> 00:26:59.900
 required because the overselling requirements
526
00:26:59.900 --> 00:27:02.700
are compulsory purchase approach?
527
00:27:02.700 --> 00:27:05.300
Yes, and if they can be knocked out if
528
00:27:05.300 --> 00:27:08.600
they can be removed from the order then that would remove
529
00:27:08.600 --> 00:27:11.600
 the anxiety as to what they mean. Yes, because you've
530
00:27:11.600 --> 00:27:14.100
 got to explain to them that it shouldn't be too much at all
531
00:27:14.100 --> 00:27:17.100
and it should only be necessary in certain circumstance, you know
all of
532
00:27:17.100 --> 00:27:20.700
 that sort of thing and as a lawyer I prefer not
533
00:27:20.700 --> 00:27:21.400
 to have to do so.
534
00:27:22.600 --> 00:27:25.400
Thank you very much. You take the words out of my mouth. That was
535
00:27:25.400 --> 00:27:28.200
```

```
actually my next question because the Visa location
536
00:27:28.200 --> 00:27:31.500
 on sheet 21 Orlando plan and if
00:27:31.500 --> 00:27:35.000
we were to find that your detailed assessments
538
00:27:34.300 --> 00:27:38.000
 comes up with a lesser requirements at
539
00:27:37.200 --> 00:27:38.200
that point.
540
00:27:39.300 --> 00:27:42.100
Then that would obviously mean in terms of
541
00:27:42.100 --> 00:27:46.000
the ca case that you don't need the over sailing anymore. Is
542
00:27:45.200 --> 00:27:48.400
 that something that you think is possibility Richard Tony
543
00:27:48.400 --> 00:27:51.200
for the applicant? It's it I can't rule this out. We're talking
00:27:51.200 --> 00:27:53.700
 about a report that we haven't seen yet, but
545
00:27:55.100 --> 00:27:58.300
I think we'd want to consider the report and consider what exactly
546
00:27:58.300 --> 00:28:01.300
 it says. So it may well
547
00:28:01.300 --> 00:28:04.900
 show a Tracker vehicle
548
00:28:04.900 --> 00:28:07.500
tracking that or suggest
```

```
549
00:28:07.500 --> 00:28:11.000
that it's slightly different from what we've assessed. I don't
550
00:28:11.100 --> 00:28:14.600
 know it may be in those circumstances that we proceed on
551
00:28:14.600 --> 00:28:17.600
the basis that we may still require to oversell
552
00:28:17.600 --> 00:28:20.500
 a bit of the land. So I'm not gonna I'm
553
00:28:20.500 --> 00:28:23.100
not gonna say now that no, of course an outcome in a report.
554
00:28:23.100 --> 00:28:26.500
 I haven't seen will lead to a particular consequence but we will
555
00:28:26.500 --> 00:28:29.700
we I think could commit to doing is updating the
556
00:28:29.700 --> 00:28:32.600
 examination when we put that documents in as
557
00:28:32.600 --> 00:28:36.300
to whether it makes any difference to our identified need
558
00:28:35.300 --> 00:28:37.500
for oversailing.
559
00:28:38.300 --> 00:28:41.600
Thank you. I was just thinking it's something to be alive to it
560
00:28:41.600 --> 00:28:44.600
was the possibility which for making things it'll simpler.
561
00:28:44.600 --> 00:28:47.500
Thank you everyone. So could I just ask that be deadlines
562
```

```
00:28:47.500 --> 00:28:48.400
 seven I assume again.
563
00:28:50.100 --> 00:28:53.500
We've said so that dead deadline seven will be when we submit our
564
00:28:53.500 --> 00:28:56.100
 support. So we'll confirm that when we put in. Yes, we'll know
either way by
565
00:28:56.100 --> 00:28:59.600
 then. Yes that we are understanding. Thank you everyone. So could I
566
00:28:59.600 --> 00:29:02.300
 just have a small point which I should have raise. But yeah, when
you
567
00:29:02.300 --> 00:29:05.300
 asked me whether there was anything further specific that
00:29:05.300 --> 00:29:08.500
we would expect to see in the feasibility study. And
569
00:29:08.500 --> 00:29:11.100
 and so there is just one particular location, which I know
570
00:29:11.100 --> 00:29:15.000
 has been identified to the applicants
571
00:29:14.800 --> 00:29:17.200
 previously allow just mention it now
572
00:29:17.200 --> 00:29:18.200
 for completeness the
573
00:29:20.400 --> 00:29:24.000
Traffic Island on the b11 02 at
574
00:29:23.100 --> 00:29:26.700
 the street stroke maidenhall. Sorry
```

575

```
00:29:26.700 --> 00:29:27.700
 mildenhall Road.
576
00:29:28.700 --> 00:29:32.900
And the question of how an stg-03 would
577
00:29:32.900 --> 00:29:37.100
overrun that Island, we're
578
00:29:35.100 --> 00:29:38.700
not saying there's no
579
00:29:38.700 --> 00:29:42.500
 solution, but we were sending hope that the report addresses the
580
00:29:42.500 --> 00:29:45.200
 feasibility of that movement. So I just flag that
581
00:29:45.200 --> 00:29:48.500
up now so I know it has been brought up previously and I
582
00:29:48.500 --> 00:29:50.600
 think the last time it was brought up.
583
00:29:51.500 --> 00:29:54.300
I think the County Council had some concern about that
584
00:29:54.300 --> 00:29:57.300
 and I just hope that that is one of the things that's going to be
addressed in
585
00:29:57.300 --> 00:30:00.200
this review report. Thank you. Yes, that was
586
00:30:00.200 --> 00:30:03.300
 I was Will Roll that into the next question I have here. I
587
00:30:03.300 --> 00:30:04.600
think I might fit it quite well.
588
00:30:07.100 --> 00:30:10.500
```

```
So the applicant next I would ask you just to outline
589
00:30:10.500 --> 00:30:11.900
 the roots that you're going to use.
590
00:30:13.100 --> 00:30:15.200
from the strategy route Network
591
00:30:16.300 --> 00:30:19.400
so don't don't even have to talk us all through the entire room,
592
00:30:19.400 --> 00:30:22.600
but from the strategy Road Network to and
593
00:30:22.600 --> 00:30:25.200
 farm each of the three sites, which now remain which require
594
00:30:26.100 --> 00:30:27.900
400 kilovolt Transformers
595
00:30:28.800 --> 00:30:31.500
Just the review of obstacles that
596
00:30:31.500 --> 00:30:34.200
have been identified and the measures required to
00:30:34.200 --> 00:30:37.800
 overcome them and in so doing perhaps you'll sweep up Mr. Bedford's
598
00:30:37.800 --> 00:30:39.300
 Question just now.
599
00:30:45.700 --> 00:30:54.100
But Mr. Carter
600
00:30:53.100 --> 00:30:56.400
will pick this up I can see he's just getting
601
00:30:56.400 --> 00:30:59.200
 the right plan up. Thank you. That's right. That's good it
```

```
602
00:30:59.200 --> 00:31:03.100
 right. Thank you. Yep. So the location
603
00:31:02.100 --> 00:31:06.000
 of this information is in chapter 5
604
00:31:05.600 --> 00:31:08.100
of the framework ctmp, which
605
00:31:08.100 --> 00:31:12.500
 is reference five Dash 015 and
606
00:31:15.500 --> 00:31:17.900
that's as part of this includes.
607
00:31:18.800 --> 00:31:21.500
From There's a summary of the
608
00:31:21.500 --> 00:31:25.400
 roots in section 5.4 and there is
609
00:31:25.400 --> 00:31:28.700
 a a detailed review
610
00:31:28.700 --> 00:31:31.700
 for which includes kind of the vehicle types
611
00:31:31.700 --> 00:31:35.100
 that we that we have assessed and The
612
00:31:34.100 --> 00:31:37.600
 Soca so sections
613
00:31:37.600 --> 00:31:41.100
 5.5 addresses the access to Sonica
614
00:31:40.100 --> 00:31:43.500
West site a at Lahore
615
00:31:43.500 --> 00:31:46.100
```

```
road, which is a which is a
616
00:31:46.100 --> 00:31:49.800
 short distance from the all Northbound slip Road and
00:31:49.800 --> 00:31:52.200
we'll be right in thinking that's probably the most straightforward
618
00:31:52.200 --> 00:31:52.500
the three.
619
00:31:53.900 --> 00:31:55.600
That's correct from this point of view. Yeah.
620
00:31:56.300 --> 00:32:00.200
And so the sweatpath for the
621
00:31:59.200 --> 00:32:03.800
Thousand tonne Crane and the 46.63
622
00:32:02.800 --> 00:32:05.100
 meter AI which is the
623
00:32:05.100 --> 00:32:09.000
Transformer and they're shown within the document and
624
00:32:08.200 --> 00:32:11.800
 the wheel paths and the crane remain
625
00:32:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.100
within the highway the body of the crane will
626
00:32:14.100 --> 00:32:17.800
 overhang the central island and there will
627
00:32:17.800 --> 00:32:20.400
be a requirement for temporary removal of traffic
628
00:32:20.400 --> 00:32:23.400
 signage within the central island. This is coming off the area.
```

```
This
629
00:32:23.400 --> 00:32:26.100
 is that the all lahoga road Junction. So coming
00:32:26.100 --> 00:32:28.800
 off the yes, very tight. Slip there, isn't it? Yes.
631
00:32:29.500 --> 00:32:33.000
and and that's not required for the 650 ton
632
00:32:32.400 --> 00:32:36.100
 or the 400 ton cranes and
633
00:32:35.100 --> 00:32:37.200
 and the
634
00:32:39.200 --> 00:32:42.900
Again, the 46.63 meter and vehicle can
635
00:32:42.900 --> 00:32:45.500
 safely maneuver The Junction and but the
636
00:32:45.500 --> 00:32:48.600
 trailer over sails the inside Verge by approximately
637
00:32:48.600 --> 00:32:51.700
 3.2 meters. And again that is remains
638
00:32:51.700 --> 00:32:54.300
within the bounds of the highway, but there would
639
00:32:54.300 --> 00:32:58.100
 be a requirement to remove a what temporarily remove
640
00:32:57.100 --> 00:33:00.700
 or relocate a street sign. Yes.
641
00:33:00.700 --> 00:33:03.500
 Presumably we'll soon as you've got the troubled
```

```
642
00:33:03.500 --> 00:33:07.000
through you put the sign back exactly. So right so
643
00:33:06.100 --> 00:33:08.000
 that's that's West a then.
00:33:08.800 --> 00:33:09.100
SO
645
00:33:10.400 --> 00:33:13.900
And as then you stay in East B, isn't that that's
646
00:33:13.900 --> 00:33:15.700
that's correct. And the
647
00:33:22.700 --> 00:33:25.900
so then to move on to East site
648
00:33:25.900 --> 00:33:28.200
 a so there is a there's a
649
00:33:28.200 --> 00:33:31.100
 fair amount of discussion within East site a on the
650
00:33:31.100 --> 00:33:34.300
 different alternative routes that that we that we
651
00:33:34.300 --> 00:33:37.500
assessed. Yeah, so you need to kind of with through
652
00:33:37.500 --> 00:33:40.800
 that and to look at the all the Alternatives
653
00:33:40.800 --> 00:33:43.400
and the
654
00:33:45.100 --> 00:33:48.600
And so we we then took the route along the
655
00:33:48.600 --> 00:33:51.800
```

```
from the all1 and so
656
00:33:51.800 --> 00:33:55.500
we first of all looked at the all offslip
00:33:54.500 --> 00:33:58.400
with the b1085 and again
658
00:33:57.400 --> 00:34:00.600
 that shows that the trailer for the
659
00:34:00.600 --> 00:34:03.900
AIL oversales the inside grass Verge
660
00:34:03.900 --> 00:34:06.100
 and but that remains within the band
661
00:34:06.100 --> 00:34:09.600
of Highway and there's no temporary alterations required because
662
00:34:09.600 --> 00:34:13.000
 there's no vertical obstructions. Yeah, and and
663
00:34:12.200 --> 00:34:15.500
the Thousand ton crane can maneuver The Junction entirely
00:34:15.500 --> 00:34:19.400
within the Carriage Way, right? And it then
665
00:34:19.400 --> 00:34:22.200
 kind of moves on to the the s-bend at the
666
00:34:22.200 --> 00:34:26.000
b4b1085 again, just
667
00:34:25.300 --> 00:34:29.100
 outside chipping and park entrance. Yeah. Again that
668
00:34:28.100 --> 00:34:31.400
 that shows that the trailer will
```

```
669
00:34:31.400 --> 00:34:34.600
 oversale the inside veg and and
670
00:34:34.600 --> 00:34:37.400
 they won't be in there and over vertical obstacles
671
00:34:37.400 --> 00:34:40.100
 that require and removal and then
672
00:34:40.100 --> 00:34:43.300
 replacement. It's still within the highway. It is
673
00:34:43.300 --> 00:34:44.900
 entirely from the highway and
674
00:34:45.100 --> 00:34:48.000
The Thousand tonne Crane can maneuver entirely within the
675
00:34:48.500 --> 00:34:50.400
 carriageway itself, right? So there's no oversell.
676
00:34:51.100 --> 00:34:51.500
and
677
00:34:53.100 --> 00:34:56.200
then again the next two the next
678
00:34:56.200 --> 00:34:57.400
 two Graphics look at the
679
00:34:58.700 --> 00:35:01.800
Again, the movements on the before 1085 through
680
00:35:01.800 --> 00:35:04.400
Chippenham and it identifies that
681
00:35:04.400 --> 00:35:08.200
 there's an oversale for the AAL on the inside of the Verge again.
682
00:35:07.200 --> 00:35:10.600
```

```
No temporary alterations required and
683
00:35:10.600 --> 00:35:13.600
 the thousand-ton crane camera maneuver within the carriageway.
684
00:35:14.300 --> 00:35:17.800
The the junction of the street and mildenhall
685
00:35:17.800 --> 00:35:20.900
 Road and there is vegetation clearance
686
00:35:20.900 --> 00:35:23.300
 and Branch trimming of the tree
687
00:35:23.300 --> 00:35:26.400
 required within the center of the junction to enable the
688
00:35:26.400 --> 00:35:29.300
AIL to navigate The Junction and but the
689
00:35:29.300 --> 00:35:32.800
 vehicle itself remains within the bounds of the highway, so no
third party.
690
00:35:32.800 --> 00:35:35.400
Is that a height issue is it
691
00:35:35.400 --> 00:35:39.300
treat you when you're talking about Branch trimming? Yes, exactly.
And sorry
692
00:35:38.300 --> 00:35:41.500
 and the 10 crane can
693
00:35:41.500 --> 00:35:44.800
maneuver with the junction with our entirely within the
694
00:35:44.800 --> 00:35:46.400
Carriage Way and then
695
00:35:47.800 --> 00:35:50.600
```

```
we then look at the b11 02
696
00:35:50.600 --> 00:35:53.600
 mildenhall Road Junction with Ferry Lane, which is
00:35:53.600 --> 00:35:56.600
 it's also termed for reckon and road on the on the
698
00:35:56.600 --> 00:35:57.600
 Streaker and
699
00:35:58.700 --> 00:36:01.100
And that's as37. I believe
700
00:36:01.100 --> 00:36:05.500
 that's the location that Mr. Steele was referring to and the
701
00:36:04.500 --> 00:36:07.900
AIL trailer over sale
702
00:36:07.900 --> 00:36:10.700
 the inside of the Verge by approximately 4.3 meters
703
00:36:10.700 --> 00:36:13.700
 and this is the private land. Yeah, and
704
00:36:13.700 --> 00:36:16.500
 that's included within the order limits
705
00:36:16.500 --> 00:36:19.300
 and our assessment is that this
706
00:36:19.300 --> 00:36:23.200
would require the existing private fence slash gate
707
00:36:22.200 --> 00:36:25.200
 to be removed or
708
00:36:25.200 --> 00:36:28.900
 relocated as well as some minor vegetation
```

```
709
00:36:28.900 --> 00:36:31.400
 clearance in order to facilitate that maneuver
710
00:36:32.200 --> 00:36:35.600
and as Mr. Turney advised if if the
711
00:36:35.600 --> 00:36:36.500
 report from the
712
00:36:38.300 --> 00:36:39.700
from the Holier identifies a
713
00:36:41.600 --> 00:36:44.200
Less intrusive approaching there. We will
714
00:36:44.200 --> 00:36:48.000
we will of course consider that as far as possible. Yes. Yes,
715
00:36:47.500 --> 00:36:50.500
 obviously if you can manage it within the
716
00:36:50.500 --> 00:36:53.500
 physical infrastructure that's there, then that's obviously much
more straightforward than
717
00:36:53.500 --> 00:36:56.300
having to take a gate and defense down. Absolutely.
718
00:36:58.100 --> 00:37:01.600
And again, the 1010 crane
719
00:37:01.600 --> 00:37:04.400
 can maneuver within the junction and the
720
00:37:04.400 --> 00:37:07.800
body overhang the body of the vehicle would overhang The Verge but
721
00:37:07.800 --> 00:37:10.500
 the but that wouldn't it doesn't overhang
```

```
00:37:10.500 --> 00:37:13.100
to the extent that it would require any vegetation trimming or
723
00:37:13.100 --> 00:37:16.300
affecting the the gate. Yeah, so it
724
00:37:16.300 --> 00:37:19.400
is just for the ailments that the the fence and
725
00:37:19.400 --> 00:37:20.400
the gate would be affected.
00:37:21.800 --> 00:37:22.100
and
727
00:37:24.700 --> 00:37:27.100
again, we then move on to Back Road Ferry Lane
728
00:37:27.100 --> 00:37:30.800
again frackenham road on the street because it's here and
729
00:37:32.300 --> 00:37:35.400
there we have the AAL trailer over sailing the inside of the Verge
730
00:37:35.400 --> 00:37:38.500
by two meters approximately and there
731
00:37:38.500 --> 00:37:41.300
 is the temporary removal or relocation of
732
00:37:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.300
two existing road signs. And as you correctly said
733
00:37:44.300 --> 00:37:47.200
before so and we would replace immediately as
734
00:37:47.200 --> 00:37:50.400
smooth vehicle passes through and you've changed during the
735
00:37:50.400 --> 00:37:53.200
the examination is you move the access.
```

```
736
00:37:53.200 --> 00:37:57.000
 So I think from Kate J. Is that right? So you have a different
movement the
737
00:37:58.600 --> 00:38:00.200
Junction at Back Road, I've got that right.
738
00:38:02.300 --> 00:38:05.700
I think it's a way around sir. And I will check it because K
739
00:38:05.700 --> 00:38:08.200
 is now the AOL access right and
740
00:38:09.400 --> 00:38:12.900
And that's the yeah
741
00:38:12.900 --> 00:38:15.300
 and in in that location and there is
742
00:38:15.300 --> 00:38:18.800
 some vegetation trimming and so that's again. It's
743
00:38:18.800 --> 00:38:21.000
the same point as before. So Branch trimming from
00:38:21.100 --> 00:38:22.400
 the from a tree
745
00:38:23.300 --> 00:38:24.700
and and the vehicle and
746
00:38:25.900 --> 00:38:29.000
and that's because the tree overhangs the carriageway and
747
00:38:28.600 --> 00:38:31.100
 and the 10 crane can
748
00:38:31.100 --> 00:38:35.000
 maneuver within the carriageway. So right I
```

```
749
00:38:34.900 --> 00:38:37.500
 and then we move on to
750
00:38:37.500 --> 00:38:40.200
me. So I'm just wondering if I could come back
751
00:38:40.200 --> 00:38:43.200
before we move on. If I'm just can you just hang
752
00:38:43.200 --> 00:38:46.600
on a minute Mrs. Steal away. Just let them finish please. Thank
you. Just carry
753
00:38:46.600 --> 00:38:49.200
 on please. Okay. He isn't it. He's be
754
00:38:49.200 --> 00:38:49.600
Elms Road.
755
00:38:50.300 --> 00:38:53.600
and again the all Northbound slip
756
00:38:53.600 --> 00:38:57.100
 onto the Elms Road T Junction and that's
757
00:38:58.600 --> 00:39:01.200
Again, when the 2010 crane turns off the
758
00:39:01.200 --> 00:39:04.400
all off slip onto Elms Road. Yeah, there would
759
00:39:04.400 --> 00:39:07.500
be the temporary removal of a road sign and
760
00:39:07.500 --> 00:39:11.300
 that's a worst case assessment. It's potentially that
761
00:39:11.300 --> 00:39:15.300
wouldn't be required. But either way it's within the within the
762
```

```
00:39:15.300 --> 00:39:18.500
 scope of what's been assessed and
763
00:39:19.500 --> 00:39:22.400
And any sign if it is for sign if it is removed, it would
764
00:39:22.400 --> 00:39:25.600
be to promptly reinstated and we've shown.
765
00:39:26.600 --> 00:39:29.800
That the AIL can safely remove that Junction and
766
00:39:29.800 --> 00:39:32.900
the E trailer would oversail the
767
00:39:32.900 --> 00:39:35.600
 inside Verge. And again that in
768
00:39:35.600 --> 00:39:39.000
that case the streets sign would be temporarily relocated
769
00:39:38.500 --> 00:39:41.700
 and that that entirely happens within
770
00:39:41.700 --> 00:39:44.500
the within the highway and another
771
00:39:44.500 --> 00:39:47.900
 relatively short of slip on the A1. And is
772
00:39:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.300
 that your access only we're talking
773
00:39:50.300 --> 00:39:50.700
 about there.
774
00:39:51.900 --> 00:39:52.500
that is
775
00:39:55.200 --> 00:39:58.300
it is a reasonably short of offset and
```

```
776
00:39:58.300 --> 00:39:59.200
 the all and
777
00:40:01.800 --> 00:40:02.100
0kay.
778
00:40:03.200 --> 00:40:06.200
Because that's I'm just thinking that's as far as it's got to go on
and on the
779
00:40:06.200 --> 00:40:09.400
 network before we get something to size, isn't it? Yes because it
travels
780
00:40:09.400 --> 00:40:12.200
 all along Elms Road and then turns into into an
781
00:40:12.200 --> 00:40:15.400
 access or films road. So it doesn't go in. It doesn't go into the
size
782
00:40:15.400 --> 00:40:16.700
 at that point. It goes down Elms Road.
783
00:40:18.200 --> 00:40:18.900
I think so. Yes. Yeah.
784
00:40:21.200 --> 00:40:24.600
Well, you're making me doubt myself. It's not a fine. Just just
wanting to
785
00:40:24.600 --> 00:40:27.100
 make sure we're getting right. So is there any
786
00:40:27.100 --> 00:40:30.600
 issue getting into the site or films Road or any issues on almost
Road
787
00:40:30.600 --> 00:40:30.800
 itself?
```

```
788
00:40:32.500 --> 00:40:35.500
Right, so that's it. Then that's that's those are
789
00:40:35.500 --> 00:40:38.200
 the three. Yes, and as you know, the Burwell route has
00:40:38.200 --> 00:40:41.500
been removed. Sorry as you as you're aware. So the Burwell route
has
791
00:40:41.500 --> 00:40:44.400
been removed and that's right. Yes, we are tricky. Thank you off
792
00:40:44.400 --> 00:40:45.700
the roots and presumably if there's any
793
00:40:46.400 --> 00:40:48.400
change to what you've told us.
794
00:40:49.500 --> 00:40:52.500
As a result of the detailed Paul is detail assessment
00:40:52.500 --> 00:40:53.500
 and that'll be highlighted.
796
00:40:54.400 --> 00:40:57.200
Absolutely. We were getting anything We're not expecting anything.
797
00:40:57.200 --> 00:41:00.400
But as rich as Mr. Attorney says never
798
00:41:00.400 --> 00:41:03.300
 seen never about a report. We haven't haven't yet read
799
00:41:03.300 --> 00:41:06.300
 and this would this is all documented in the
800
00:41:06.300 --> 00:41:09.600
 ctmp and we would submit the track change version. Yeah at
801
```

```
00:41:09.600 --> 00:41:12.300
 the next deadline which would incorporate anything that's very
802
00:41:12.300 --> 00:41:15.600
 very helpful to me to get a recap of just what exactly what's
803
00:41:15.600 --> 00:41:18.700
 now happening and what the problems might
804
00:41:18.700 --> 00:41:20.800
 be dissolves your question, Mr. Bedford.
805
00:41:22.200 --> 00:41:25.800
will say it's only deals with the issues
806
00:41:25.800 --> 00:41:27.800
 in relation particularly to
807
00:41:29.600 --> 00:41:32.500
the the street mildenhall road
00:41:32.500 --> 00:41:35.900
 Junction, obviously, we will look at what the review says
809
00:41:35.900 --> 00:41:39.700
 about that when we see it and obviously
810
00:41:40.800 --> 00:41:43.700
We understand what being said about the
811
00:41:43.700 --> 00:41:46.800
 issues between the
812
00:41:46.800 --> 00:41:50.300
 Strategic Road Network and the various sites.
813
00:41:49.300 --> 00:41:52.300
We understand that and that's obviously what's
814
00:41:52.300 --> 00:41:55.200
 been addressed. We simply make the point that we don't
```

```
815
00:41:55.200 --> 00:41:58.200
want overlooked and we know won't be overlooked in the review
816
00:41:58.200 --> 00:42:02.400
 process. There is also the question of the movements particularly
817
00:42:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.200
 from Port to the srn which
818
00:42:04.200 --> 00:42:07.500
 again we've made the point in order
819
00:42:07.500 --> 00:42:10.500
to get from particularly the port of Ipswich to the srn
820
00:42:10.500 --> 00:42:13.300
you will have to use local roads first and it's
821
00:42:13.300 --> 00:42:16.400
 ensuring that they are again included within
822
00:42:16.400 --> 00:42:19.400
the review and our satisfactory in there for the purpose,
823
00:42:19.400 --> 00:42:22.300
but that's I say, I think the applicant
824
00:42:22.300 --> 00:42:25.900
 for the understands that that's going to come forward I confirm
825
00:42:25.900 --> 00:42:27.400
 that's a key part of the scope.
826
00:42:28.500 --> 00:42:31.300
Sorry, I confirm that he's give your name each time
827
00:42:31.300 --> 00:42:34.300
you speak please. I'm sorry Mr. Castor on behalf of the applicant.
Thank
```

```
828
00:42:34.300 --> 00:42:36.400
you. I confirm that's the key part of the scope.
829
00:42:37.500 --> 00:42:41.700
Thank you very much. That's very useful Mr. Steele.
00:42:40.700 --> 00:42:42.900
Did you want to make a point?
831
00:42:43.700 --> 00:42:46.500
I apologize for coming in early. I hope it's a very short Point sir.
832
00:42:46.500 --> 00:42:49.300
Thank you very much for allowing me to do so, I believe that
833
00:42:49.300 --> 00:42:52.900
 the land in freckenham is the shores trust
834
00:42:52.900 --> 00:42:55.600
 land when I have instructions through others for
835
00:42:55.600 --> 00:42:58.500
 them. And I'm just wondering if it's tour bus
836
00:42:58.500 --> 00:43:01.600
 to go about this in this way. So they're out of the picture
thereafter.
837
00:43:02.900 --> 00:43:05.000
I realized that when one gets one of
838
00:43:05.200 --> 00:43:08.100
 these reports and I'm familiar with the nature of
839
00:43:08.100 --> 00:43:08.500
 in general.
840
00:43:09.400 --> 00:43:12.800
There are tracked the tracks which
```

841

```
00:43:12.800 --> 00:43:15.200
 are shown for the vehicles within the report.
842
00:43:16.200 --> 00:43:19.400
But the author of the port may not be looking at the
843
00:43:19.400 --> 00:43:22.800
minimization of any particular requirement.
844
00:43:24.300 --> 00:43:28.200
In the author may be looking at a different approach. Maybe
845
00:43:27.200 --> 00:43:28.800
 just using
846
00:43:30.700 --> 00:43:33.300
one of the software programs at one
847
00:43:33.300 --> 00:43:35.500
 can use just to corporate the track.
00:43:36.100 --> 00:43:38.600
and I'm just wondering that if I can ask true the
849
00:43:39.500 --> 00:43:42.700
appellant and Mr. Attorney in this particular case that when
850
00:43:42.700 --> 00:43:45.700
 the the authors of
851
00:43:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.100
 the report are then in conjunction with
852
00:43:48.100 --> 00:43:49.400
the
853
00:43:50.700 --> 00:43:53.400
Polio themselves. They look
854
00:43:53.400 --> 00:43:57.000
 at the ability if at all possible to omit
```

```
855
00:43:56.600 --> 00:43:59.400
 the requirement for any land
856
00:43:59.400 --> 00:44:03.200
 to be taken rather than just to incorporate what
857
00:44:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.300
 is in the report and look at that on
858
00:44:05.300 --> 00:44:08.500
 its own. So you go back to see if there's an ability to
859
00:44:08.500 --> 00:44:11.100
 knock out things such as branch has been
860
00:44:11.100 --> 00:44:14.500
taking away and things like that because there is an effect
861
00:44:14.500 --> 00:44:17.600
 potentially with something. I've been told by those who
862
00:44:17.600 --> 00:44:21.200
 instruct me with the branch removal not
863
00:44:20.200 --> 00:44:23.200
 quite sure what is required. It's all this sort
864
00:44:23.200 --> 00:44:26.300
of stuff and also it's a conservation area and it's all those
865
00:44:26.300 --> 00:44:29.400
 sorts of matters and then in order to just remove all that
866
00:44:29.400 --> 00:44:30.900
 entirely potentially from
867
00:44:31.700 --> 00:44:34.500
The scene then in those circumstances. It
868
```

```
00:44:34.500 --> 00:44:37.300
 may be possible just to put it all on one side. If one looks
869
00:44:37.300 --> 00:44:40.800
 at this more carefully. I just raised that so that those
870
00:44:40.800 --> 00:44:43.300
who instruct Mr. Attorney can look at it in
871
00:44:43.300 --> 00:44:46.300
that way rather than it being something which is left
872
00:44:46.300 --> 00:44:47.700
over further representations.
873
00:44:48.400 --> 00:44:51.300
Thank you. Yes, I mean my understanding is that
874
00:44:52.800 --> 00:44:55.700
brought things such as branch removal
875
00:44:55.700 --> 00:44:58.800
 are going to be dictated by the size of the Transformer and
876
00:44:58.800 --> 00:45:01.200
we'll know what that is, and I would think
877
00:45:01.200 --> 00:45:02.500
 that software will use
878
00:45:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.100
Standard algorithm to work it out and they'll add a
879
00:45:07.100 --> 00:45:11.500
 bit on from luck. But I'll double check that with the applicant
Rich attorney
888
00:45:11.500 --> 00:45:14.200
 for the applicant. We we dealt with this. I don't I didn't realize
881
00:45:14.200 --> 00:45:17.500
```

```
Mrs. Steel was for the Shore Trust because they
882
00:45:17.500 --> 00:45:21.200
appeared on Tuesday and we
883
00:45:20.200 --> 00:45:24.700
heard from them and the position
884
00:45:24.700 --> 00:45:27.200
with them is that we've explained
885
00:45:27.200 --> 00:45:30.800
to them their represented by cards Jonas who as surveyors
886
00:45:30.800 --> 00:45:33.100
we're seeking to
887
00:45:33.100 --> 00:45:37.500
agree a license with them for the overtale. The interference
888
00:45:36.500 --> 00:45:41.100
is is minimal. Will
889
00:45:39.100 --> 00:45:42.500
it be minimized? Yes because
890
00:45:42.500 --> 00:45:46.000
the truck will stay on the road and the
891
00:45:45.100 --> 00:45:49.400
question is how far does the trailer over
892
00:45:49.400 --> 00:45:52.200
sell the land? That's the issue. So that's what we're looking
893
00:45:52.200 --> 00:45:55.200
at. And that's what's being assessed with identified a need for an
894
00:45:55.200 --> 00:45:58.400
over sale. There's a fence which somebody told
```

```
895
00:45:58.400 --> 00:46:01.400
me is in relatively. He's not in pristine
896
00:46:01.400 --> 00:46:04.600
 condition. I don't think it's a fence that will be valued by anyone
partic.
897
00:46:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.200
Sleep Beyond this role as a fence which would
00:46:07.200 --> 00:46:10.600
be removed for the minutes during which
899
00:46:10.600 --> 00:46:13.900
 the turn is made and then replaced and then
900
00:46:13.900 --> 00:46:16.300
 those concerned with the
901
00:46:16.300 --> 00:46:20.100
 that land and the asparagus on it will carry
902
00:46:19.100 --> 00:46:22.600
 on as they were before. So it's a
903
00:46:22.600 --> 00:46:27.400
 single a single delivery that we're looking at here with we're
904
00:46:26.400 --> 00:46:30.200
 in close. We have tried
905
00:46:29.200 --> 00:46:32.500
 to negotiate a license we would
906
00:46:32.500 --> 00:46:35.900
which we would hope would be unobjectionable to have
907
00:46:35.900 --> 00:46:38.400
 that very brief interference. But obviously as
908
```

```
00:46:38.400 --> 00:46:42.000
we've already said the hall is going through it. Now. They're
909
00:46:41.200 --> 00:46:44.400
 looking at the whole route which would include that
910
00:46:44.400 --> 00:46:47.700
turn and if they come to a different conclusion
911
00:46:47.700 --> 00:46:50.800
 on the Ava sale, we will we will update. Yes and
912
00:46:50.800 --> 00:46:53.800
 just one thinking about it presumably once
913
00:46:53.800 --> 00:46:56.600
 the trailers made the delivery then
914
00:46:56.600 --> 00:46:58.000
has to get back again, so it's actually
00:46:59.100 --> 00:47:02.100
You thought you've got the work you've retracing retracing. It
916
00:47:02.100 --> 00:47:05.600
 steps. Well it to get back home as it were the trailer
917
00:47:05.600 --> 00:47:08.900
 can be disassembled and made into a smaller vehicle. Excellent.
918
00:47:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.900
That's the answer to here. Thank you very much. Matt, Mr.
919
00:47:12.900 --> 00:47:15.600
Married. Yes, Mr. Mohammed on behalf of the two
920
00:47:15.600 --> 00:47:18.700
 councils on this side. May I reintroduce Mrs.
921
00:47:18.700 --> 00:47:21.600
 Rhodes? And she's just has some some clarification
```

```
922
00:47:21.600 --> 00:47:24.600
 and questions on on a few points. Okay? Yes.
923
00:47:25.900 --> 00:47:28.200
Thank you Camilla Rose
924
00:47:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.100
 for Cambridge County Council. And I think
925
00:47:32.100 --> 00:47:35.200
 I may cover this point possibly also for Suffolk County
926
00:47:35.200 --> 00:47:36.700
 Council of the local Highway Authority.
927
00:47:37.900 --> 00:47:38.100
So
928
00:47:39.600 --> 00:47:42.100
we there's one critical point that hasn't
929
00:47:42.100 --> 00:47:45.200
yet been addressed which is something that the
930
00:47:45.200 --> 00:47:49.000
 councils are both been raising since the beginning of the
examination and
931
00:47:48.400 --> 00:47:51.900
 prior to that think through the liar, which is
932
00:47:51.900 --> 00:47:52.200
 that
933
00:47:53.100 --> 00:47:56.300
The plans and the reports that we have
934
00:47:56.300 --> 00:47:59.800
 seen don't yet include the highway boundary data,
```

```
935
00:47:59.800 --> 00:48:02.900
which we've been requesting that they do include
936
00:48:02.900 --> 00:48:04.100
 in fact.
937
00:48:05.300 --> 00:48:08.400
Despite saying they seeking information from us.
938
00:48:08.400 --> 00:48:11.500
We never received a request and we've proactively provided that
939
00:48:11.500 --> 00:48:14.300
 from Cambria County Council our higher extent
940
00:48:14.300 --> 00:48:17.300
 data at the beginning of this week. My understanding
941
00:48:17.300 --> 00:48:20.900
 is that Suffolk County Council haven't provided
942
00:48:20.900 --> 00:48:23.700
 their detailed Hydro boundary data as yet.
943
00:48:23.700 --> 00:48:26.900
 So we need to see that
944
00:48:26.900 --> 00:48:29.500
 data overlaid with the
945
00:48:29.500 --> 00:48:32.700
 haulage roots with the site accesses and
946
00:48:32.700 --> 00:48:35.600
 needs to be provided to us as soon as possible because
947
00:48:35.600 --> 00:48:38.400
 that's going to be quite a burden on the authority to then
948
00:48:38.400 --> 00:48:41.300
```

```
assess the implications and we need the time to do
949
00:48:41.300 --> 00:48:41.600
 that.
950
00:48:42.600 --> 00:48:45.600
To then respond provide appropriate comments
951
00:48:45.600 --> 00:48:46.700
 back to them.
952
00:48:47.800 --> 00:48:51.000
So it's a it's a plea first
953
00:48:50.300 --> 00:48:53.600
 that that data is included added to
954
00:48:53.600 --> 00:48:56.500
the site access plans. We're not quite clear
955
00:48:56.500 --> 00:48:59.800
what else they might be needed to add it to for example
956
00:48:59.800 --> 00:49:01.700
the cable access plans.
00:49:03.300 --> 00:49:05.600
In will it be included in the haulage report?
958
00:49:06.800 --> 00:49:10.300
To enable these decisions when there's a
959
00:49:09.300 --> 00:49:11.300
 statement is made that.
960
00:49:12.500 --> 00:49:15.300
It's all within the highway. Well, we're not
961
00:49:15.300 --> 00:49:18.300
 confident it is because we've not seen the evidence that it
```

```
962
00:49:18.300 --> 00:49:19.900
 is against our legal data.
963
00:49:21.200 --> 00:49:23.900
So that's a big concern for us. That's still outstanding.
00:49:24.800 --> 00:49:25.200
Thank you.
965
00:49:26.400 --> 00:49:29.800
Could the applicant please respond? Thanks Sarah
966
00:49:29.800 --> 00:49:30.700
 Rich Tony for the applicant. Yes.
967
00:49:31.800 --> 00:49:35.100
We're waiting for sec's data. So
968
00:49:34.100 --> 00:49:37.900
 that's the point that was Rhodes
969
00:49:37.900 --> 00:49:40.100
 identified. So we're waiting for
970
00:49:40.100 --> 00:49:43.600
 the highway data, but we have already said to
971
00:49:43.600 --> 00:49:44.800
 the county councils that we will
972
00:49:45.600 --> 00:49:48.500
provide those overlays to
973
00:49:48.500 --> 00:49:51.300
 them. So they'll have I think an overlay
974
00:49:51.300 --> 00:49:55.000
 on the tracking plans that are in the ctmp and
975
00:49:54.200 --> 00:49:57.200
```

```
on the access plans and on the
976
00:49:57.200 --> 00:50:00.300
 access plans, when will that be done that doesn't have to work to
our
977
00:50:00.300 --> 00:50:03.200
 deadlines? No you that's fine. It's between us
978
00:50:03.200 --> 00:50:06.500
but it's not we're not posing that should be on any approved plan
979
00:50:06.500 --> 00:50:09.800
for the purposes of the order, but
980
00:50:09.800 --> 00:50:12.300
 I don't know if there's an update from
981
00:50:12.300 --> 00:50:13.800
 SCC is to
00:50:18.700 --> 00:50:21.500
so we're waiting. I don't I don't know if SCC can
983
00:50:21.500 --> 00:50:24.100
 say when they're going to provide that data, but obviously we can't
do
984
00:50:24.100 --> 00:50:25.300
 the work until we have the
985
00:50:26.200 --> 00:50:29.700
the highway boundary data just a light.
986
00:50:30.400 --> 00:50:33.300
Yes, so just a minute. Sorry. Have you have you
987
00:50:33.300 --> 00:50:36.000
 completed your submissions to Tony unless I can
988
00:50:36.400 --> 00:50:39.400
```

```
assist you. So something that we all doing. Yeah, we've agreed
we're going
989
00:50:39.400 --> 00:50:42.100
 to do we're not proposing to introduce it to
990
00:50:42.100 --> 00:50:46.800
 the examination. We're going to give it to the councils and
991
00:50:45.800 --> 00:50:48.300
 to do that.
992
00:50:48.300 --> 00:50:51.400
We need their data files to show
993
00:50:51.400 --> 00:50:54.400
 the boundaries of their highways so that we can plot them
994
00:50:54.400 --> 00:50:57.500
 onto our plans. Is there anything you're waiting for from
00:50:57.500 --> 00:50:59.000
 Cambridgeshire?
996
00:51:04.900 --> 00:51:05.200
S0
997
00:51:06.700 --> 00:51:10.900
twenty they say and just asking
998
00:51:09.900 --> 00:51:12.500
 that I emphasize and
999
00:51:12.500 --> 00:51:15.400
 I certainly don't want to put them back to anyone's back. What's
1000
00:51:15.400 --> 00:51:18.600
 up? My name is Bedford is Keen on
1001
00:51:18.600 --> 00:51:21.300
 who said what when we're not
```

```
00:51:21.300 --> 00:51:25.000
 criticizing SEC for not providing information. I
1003
00:51:24.200 --> 00:51:27.700
 think it's a relatively recent request but we cannot do
1004
00:51:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.400
 the plans until we have that. I'm just thinking in respect to miss
Rhodes
1005
00:51:30.400 --> 00:51:33.700
 question, whether there's anything you're waiting for from
Cambridge in
1006
00:51:33.700 --> 00:51:36.000
 order to comply with their request. No, I don't
1007
00:51:36.100 --> 00:51:39.300
 think so. No, but I think we're going to do the plans together.
1008
00:51:39.300 --> 00:51:43.000
 So rather than do to iterations
1009
00:51:42.200 --> 00:51:45.400
 of them. So that's what
1010
00:51:45.400 --> 00:51:48.700
we're praising to do. But for the purpose of the examination, we're
very
1011
00:51:48.700 --> 00:51:51.300
happy to provide this information, but we don't think you need it.
1012
00:51:51.300 --> 00:51:54.700
So we don't think you need to be provided with those overlay plans
for
1013
00:51:54.700 --> 00:51:58.200
any purpose connected to the examination. We're happy
1014
```

1002

```
00:51:57.200 --> 00:52:02.100
 to do it for the county councils and but
1015
00:52:01.100 --> 00:52:04.200
 it's fine with that so long as
1016
00:52:04.200 --> 00:52:06.100
you are satisfied among yourself.
1017
00:52:06.700 --> 00:52:08.700
Yes, Miss Rose you have something else you wanted to ask?
1018
00:52:09.300 --> 00:52:12.400
And well first point out the burden
1019
00:52:12.400 --> 00:52:13.200
 is on the applicant.
1020
00:52:13.500 --> 00:52:16.400
That's a normal commercial search request that we'd expect
1021
00:52:16.400 --> 00:52:19.400
 of any applicant to do a beginning of a
1022
00:52:19.400 --> 00:52:22.500
 scheme. So just point that out. It's not not something that
1023
00:52:22.500 --> 00:52:25.200
 the higher authorities should proactively have to do
1024
00:52:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.800
 should come from the applicant and secondly the
1025
00:52:28.800 --> 00:52:31.400
 the it we think it does matter
1026
00:52:31.400 --> 00:52:35.000
because they think we think it turns on the viability of whether
1027
00:52:34.300 --> 00:52:37.500
 the scheme can be delivered if you can get these vehicles through
```

```
1028
00:52:38.400 --> 00:52:41.100
Using the extent of the highway or not
1029
00:52:41.100 --> 00:52:44.200
 or whether there's whether the areas where works are to
1030
00:52:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.200
 be done are actually within existing highway or not.
1031
00:52:47.200 --> 00:52:51.400
And that would then turn on whether you need additional over
1032
00:52:50.400 --> 00:52:53.300
 sailing licenses in the Searchlight.
1033
00:52:53.900 --> 00:52:56.200
Thank you. So well, I'm hoping
1034
00:52:56.200 --> 00:52:59.300
 to offline the parties can get that sorted
1035
00:52:59.300 --> 00:53:00.300
 out as necessary.
1036
00:53:01.200 --> 00:53:04.100
Thank you. Just just be clear
1037
00:53:04.100 --> 00:53:05.600
 that it.
1038
00:53:06.500 --> 00:53:06.800
that
1039
00:53:09.100 --> 00:53:11.700
the The Roots we've identified.
1040
00:53:12.700 --> 00:53:14.000
but within the order limits
```

1041

```
00:53:14.600 --> 00:53:17.200
So that's that's how we that's how it's
1042
00:53:17.200 --> 00:53:20.900
 dealt with. I don't think there is a I don't think the precise
position.
1043
00:53:21.900 --> 00:53:24.400
Of the highway if we take the asparagus field
1044
00:53:24.400 --> 00:53:27.300
 Corner the precise width of
1045
00:53:27.300 --> 00:53:31.000
 the highway doesn't much matter because we've
1046
00:53:30.400 --> 00:53:34.000
 taken that bit of the land that we need to oversell. We're
1047
00:53:33.100 --> 00:53:36.800
 taking the right temporary possession right that minutes that
1048
00:53:36.800 --> 00:53:39.200
we take turning the corner. So it really doesn't much
1049
00:53:39.200 --> 00:53:43.600
 matter for the purposes of delivery of the scheme. Obviously the
1050
00:53:42.600 --> 00:53:45.200
 applicant like perfect set the
1051
00:53:45.200 --> 00:53:48.100
 applicant Bears the consenting risk in the sense that the applicant
is
1052
00:53:48.100 --> 00:53:51.100
 responsible for identifying which Powers it asks the sector of
state
1053
00:53:51.100 --> 00:53:53.800
to Grant to it to allow it to construct the scheme.
```

```
1054
00:53:56.300 --> 00:53:59.900
But this sort of forensic process
1055
00:53:59.900 --> 00:54:04.300
 of comparing Highway width
00:54:02.300 --> 00:54:05.300
 data files
1057
00:54:05.300 --> 00:54:08.200
with plants that
1058
00:54:08.200 --> 00:54:12.100
we have produced showing Our Roots is is not
1059
00:54:11.100 --> 00:54:14.200
 necessary for deciding whether or not we should
1060
00:54:14.200 --> 00:54:17.200
 have development consent. So that's why we're dealing with it
separately. I
1061
00:54:17.200 --> 00:54:20.800
 know it's been a repeated point of concern but we we're
1062
00:54:20.800 --> 00:54:23.600
 happy to deal with it, but it's not something that
1063
00:54:23.600 --> 00:54:26.200
we need to do with through the examination. Hence the fact that
1064
00:54:27.800 --> 00:54:30.400
We're not promising it to the particular deadline.
1065
00:54:31.200 --> 00:54:31.400
Thank you.
1066
00:54:34.400 --> 00:54:34.700
Thanks.
1067
```

```
00:54:37.600 --> 00:54:38.700
Would it?
1068
00:54:39.600 --> 00:54:43.000
Not be a normal practice to seek that
1069
00:54:42.400 --> 00:54:46.000
 sort of data from the highway authorities.
1070
00:54:48.600 --> 00:54:50.100
To anyone on the applicants, too.
1071
00:54:52.700 --> 00:54:55.600
And Mr.
1072
00:54:55.600 --> 00:54:58.500
 Carter for the applicant and yes, it
1073
00:54:58.500 --> 00:55:01.200
would be it would be normal practice and my
1074
00:55:01.200 --> 00:55:02.400
 husband to be done here.
1075
00:55:03.400 --> 00:55:06.400
And there was a misunderstanding earlier in earlier in
1076
00:55:06.400 --> 00:55:09.300
 the scheme where we felt that it where members of
1077
00:55:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.200
 the team felt it had been requested and then found out that it
1078
00:55:12.200 --> 00:55:15.600
 hadn't been requested but we are seeking to rectify that and
1079
00:55:15.600 --> 00:55:18.400
 ensure that that it would be done as as
1080
00:55:18.400 --> 00:55:19.000
Mr. Turney.
```

```
1081
00:55:20.200 --> 00:55:23.400
As Mr. Turney points out. It doesn't come
1082
00:55:23.400 --> 00:55:26.300
to the heart judges before you get to the consequences. Just how
1083
00:55:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.800
 are you seeking to rectifier?
1084
00:55:28.500 --> 00:55:31.500
But by the fact that we must be informations are yes, we've
1085
00:55:31.500 --> 00:55:34.500
 requested the information and we will that's no and
1086
00:55:34.500 --> 00:55:37.400
yes, so we've received the information from Cambridgeshire and
1087
00:55:37.400 --> 00:55:40.700
 a request has gone to to Suffolk
1088
00:55:40.700 --> 00:55:43.500
for the for the same information. Yes, so that
1089
00:55:43.500 --> 00:55:46.100
 that's that's been with them for how long
1090
00:55:46.100 --> 00:55:46.700
that request.
1091
00:55:48.800 --> 00:55:51.800
I'm not sure so it's it. It's
1092
00:55:51.800 --> 00:55:53.000
not an exceedingly long time.
1093
00:55:55.200 --> 00:55:58.400
I'm going to be a rich Journey for the applicant. Can you just
1094
```

```
00:55:58.400 --> 00:55:59.200
 pause the moment, please?
1095
00:56:03.200 --> 00:56:07.600
So question 3.9.8 of
1096
00:56:08.400 --> 00:56:12.700
our latest round of questions asked where in
1097
00:56:12.700 --> 00:56:15.300
 the application documents sectional drawings showing
1098
00:56:15.300 --> 00:56:18.000
 accurately verges that are part of
1099
00:56:18.100 --> 00:56:21.100
 the highway and whether you've engaged effectively with the
1100
00:56:21.100 --> 00:56:25.300
 local Highway authorities to use Highway boundary
1101
00:56:24.300 --> 00:56:25.800
 data.
1102
00:56:27.400 --> 00:56:30.300
Um, I I which I suppose goes to
1103
00:56:30.300 --> 00:56:33.200
 that issue. It's just been
1104
00:56:33.200 --> 00:56:34.100
 something that I
1105
00:56:35.500 --> 00:56:38.900
we've been conscious of I don't
1106
00:56:38.900 --> 00:56:42.800
 I mean it's helpful that you say that there was a misunderstanding
Mr.
1107
00:56:41.800 --> 00:56:44.200
```

```
Carter and it's helpful to know
1108
00:56:44.200 --> 00:56:47.900
 that that request for the data is now with with the
00:56:47.900 --> 00:56:51.500
 local Highway authorities. How is
1110
00:56:51.500 --> 00:56:52.800
 that going to be progressed then?
1111
00:56:53.600 --> 00:56:57.500
And we will update the the plans within
1112
00:56:57.500 --> 00:57:00.300
 the Galaxy site access plans and the
1113
00:57:00.300 --> 00:57:03.400
tracking plans and provide that as a package
1114
00:57:03.400 --> 00:57:06.900
 of information to the to the highways authorities, and
1115
00:57:06.900 --> 00:57:09.200
we will do that as soon as
1116
00:57:09.200 --> 00:57:09.400
 possible.
1117
00:57:11.400 --> 00:57:14.400
Writing sounds and does that
1118
00:57:14.400 --> 00:57:18.000
 satisfy for the time being the county council's
1119
00:57:17.000 --> 00:57:19.900
 position?
1120
00:57:21.600 --> 00:57:24.700
sir, could I just say on behalf
```

```
1121
00:57:24.700 --> 00:57:27.600
Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council on behalf
1122
00:57:27.600 --> 00:57:31.500
of the Suffolk County Council the the
1123
00:57:34.700 --> 00:57:37.300
reason to misunderstanding. It isn't
1124
00:57:37.300 --> 00:57:41.500
the case in all locations. The County
1125
00:57:40.500 --> 00:57:43.700
Council has sufficiently detailed
1126
00:57:43.700 --> 00:57:47.100
records currently available as
1127
00:57:46.100 --> 00:57:49.200
it were sitting on a shelf that can
1128
00:57:49.200 --> 00:57:52.700
just be taken off a shelf and given to somebody in some
1129
00:57:52.700 --> 00:57:55.300
 instances. It's necessary for survey work
1130
00:57:55.300 --> 00:57:57.000
to be undertaken. I actual
1131
00:57:58.100 --> 00:58:02.000
field survey work to identify the limits
1132
00:58:01.200 --> 00:58:03.400
of Highway boundaries
1133
00:58:04.300 --> 00:58:07.100
and as I understand it that's provided on a
1134
00:58:07.100 --> 00:58:10.400
```

```
commercial service basis when applicants make
1135
00:58:10.400 --> 00:58:11.900
 requests for that.
00:58:12.700 --> 00:58:15.500
As I think been indicated the request has been
1137
00:58:15.500 --> 00:58:18.300
made relatively recently and to the
1138
00:58:18.300 --> 00:58:21.100
 extent that survey work is required. I say it's
1139
00:58:21.100 --> 00:58:24.100
 not the case that the county council's just sitting there as it
were
1140
00:58:24.100 --> 00:58:27.900
with all the information in its hands and just as being dilatory
1141
00:58:27.900 --> 00:58:30.300
 about dishing it out some of
1142
00:58:30.300 --> 00:58:33.700
this information requires as I say survey work to be undertaken. So
1143
00:58:33.700 --> 00:58:36.600
 that is why there is a as we're a chronological
1144
00:58:37.600 --> 00:58:40.800
Time scale issue. So it is a matter
1145
00:58:40.800 --> 00:58:41.900
that obviously
1146
00:58:42.500 --> 00:58:45.100
we are so what are we
1147
00:58:45.100 --> 00:58:48.300
 talking about? Are we talking about this section 36 list of
```

```
1148
00:58:48.300 --> 00:58:51.800
 streets. I mean, well that won't provide sufficient detail
1149
00:58:51.800 --> 00:58:56.100
 in all locations. I obviously
1150
00:58:55.100 --> 00:58:58.900
 say you will have seen the list of streets that
1151
00:58:58.900 --> 00:59:01.500
 some local authorities have in different forms
1152
00:59:01.500 --> 00:59:04.100
 from your professional experience and you will
1153
00:59:04.100 --> 00:59:07.300
 know that there are different degrees of detail in some of that
information
1154
00:59:07.300 --> 00:59:10.800
 and it's Matt basis and
1155
00:59:10.800 --> 00:59:13.700
 some of that needs to be supplemented. I say by survey
1156
00:59:13.700 --> 00:59:16.500
work which will take
1157
00:59:16.500 --> 00:59:19.900
 time to undertake. We are intending obviously
1158
00:59:19.900 --> 00:59:22.400
to help the applicant so far
1159
00:59:22.400 --> 00:59:24.900
 as we can but I say we just conscious that there is a
1160
00:59:26.700 --> 00:59:30.200
Work to be done. And therefore I
```

```
1161
00:59:29.200 --> 00:59:32.100
 say I wouldn't want the impression to be formed that it's just
1162
00:59:32.100 --> 00:59:35.800
oh, well, they've asked in the County Council is not not
cooperating
1163
00:59:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.100
we are but there are
1164
00:59:38.100 --> 00:59:41.100
 I say there is a process and as you rightly say and as
1165
00:59:41.100 --> 00:59:44.300
 I think the applicant is accepted, this is something that really
should have
1166
00:59:44.300 --> 00:59:47.300
been requested and put in motion at a much
1167
00:59:47.300 --> 00:59:50.600
 earlier stage so that we didn't have this problem arising
relatively
1168
00:59:50.600 --> 00:59:52.400
 late in the day. Thank you, Mr. Bedford.
1169
00:59:53.900 --> 00:59:55.600
Yes. Thank you, Mr. Cain.
1170
00:59:56.600 --> 00:59:59.100
If we can now move on I think
1171
00:59:59.100 --> 01:00:00.900
we're all done with that item.
1172
01:00:02.100 --> 01:00:05.300
And among us anything else. They want to say about Al's before
1173
01:00:05.300 --> 01:00:05.800
we move on.
```

```
1174
01:00:07.800 --> 01:00:08.100
look
1175
01:00:08.900 --> 01:00:11.400
So we move on to have a
1176
01:00:11.400 --> 01:00:13.300
 good vehicle routes and forecast impacts.
1177
01:00:14.800 --> 01:00:17.600
and we note the responses by the applicant and
1178
01:00:17.600 --> 01:00:18.800
by the councils to our
1179
01:00:19.900 --> 01:00:22.000
execute 1.10.4.
1180
01:00:23.500 --> 01:00:26.600
Regarding your proposed all Barton Mills and Associated Gap
1181
01:00:26.600 --> 01:00:27.000
 closures.
1182
01:00:28.100 --> 01:00:31.200
And I was wondering what measures might be
1183
01:00:31.200 --> 01:00:34.800
needed to ensure compliance with signposted diversions.
1184
01:00:35.500 --> 01:00:37.100
for
1185
01:00:38.200 --> 01:00:42.500
put out by national highways for the all projects for
1186
01:00:41.500 --> 01:00:44.500
 instance requirement for a
1187
```

```
01:00:44.500 --> 01:00:47.200
 11 northbound traffic to return on the
1188
01:00:47.200 --> 01:00:50.100
 all southbound do a UE apartment Mills come back
1189
01:00:50.100 --> 01:00:53.500
 down southbound and not rat round Westwoods from
1190
01:00:53.500 --> 01:00:54.700
 Barton Mills on local roads.
1191
01:00:55.500 --> 01:00:58.300
And whether there are any Roots which will be used
1192
01:00:58.300 --> 01:01:00.800
 by hgv which we haven't already considered.
1193
01:01:01.700 --> 01:01:04.100
So if the applicant would like to
1194
01:01:04.700 --> 01:01:07.200
Kick off on that one place a rich journey of
1195
01:01:07.200 --> 01:01:11.700
 the applicant. Can I just clarifies that is that's about
enforcement of the approved
1196
01:01:10.700 --> 01:01:13.700
 route. So resist about changes to
1197
01:01:13.700 --> 01:01:14.400
 the approved roots.
1198
01:01:15.100 --> 01:01:18.700
It's about both in a sense because National highways
1199
01:01:18.700 --> 01:01:21.200
 as I understand it will put up Thou shalt do this
1200
01:01:21.200 --> 01:01:21.800
```

```
notices.
1201
01:01:22.500 --> 01:01:25.700
But whether people actually do is another matter and the
01:01:25.700 --> 01:01:28.800
 the diversion notices which national
1203
01:01:28.800 --> 01:01:31.300
highways put out made. I'm wondering whether they might
1204
01:01:31.300 --> 01:01:34.600
 change your assessment of your crew Roots. Hopefully, they
1205
01:01:34.600 --> 01:01:37.500
won't in which case we then kick on to the enforcement
1206
01:01:37.500 --> 01:01:40.000
bit about whether people will actually do what they've been told
1207
01:01:40.300 --> 01:01:43.300
 or not. Thank you cards come in on the on those
1208
01:01:43.300 --> 01:01:44.400
two two steps.
1209
01:01:48.300 --> 01:01:51.400
Yes, so within that if National highways put say
1210
01:01:51.400 --> 01:01:54.900
 diversion route from the srn as a result of a scheme
1211
01:01:54.900 --> 01:01:57.600
 that introduces and then our contractor
1212
01:01:57.600 --> 01:02:00.600
would be required to comply with that with that
1213
01:02:00.600 --> 01:02:03.600
 route. Hmm, and we can we can
```

```
1214
01:02:03.600 --> 01:02:06.400
build that into the to the contracts and we are required
1215
01:02:06.400 --> 01:02:09.900
 and we're establishing in the ctmp that there's
1216
01:02:09.900 --> 01:02:12.100
 a requirement for us to Monitor and
1217
01:02:12.100 --> 01:02:15.600
 reports and the use of the roots of the hgv's use
1218
01:02:15.600 --> 01:02:18.700
to access the sites, right? So
1219
01:02:18.700 --> 01:02:21.100
 I'm just think he's some mechanism because we're going to get an
1220
01:02:21.100 --> 01:02:21.500
 updated.
1221
01:02:22.900 --> 01:02:25.200
ctmp to actually
1222
01:02:25.200 --> 01:02:28.100
 cite that and I realized at the moment it's a little
1223
01:02:28.100 --> 01:02:31.200
 bit up in the air because the national highways all program
1224
01:02:31.200 --> 01:02:31.800
 is not exactly
1225
01:02:32.800 --> 01:02:33.700
nailed down yet
1226
01:02:34.300 --> 01:02:37.500
But in so far as possibility it might interfere with.
1227
01:02:38.500 --> 01:02:39.100
```

```
your project
1228
01:02:40.200 --> 01:02:42.000
I'm just wondering whether it's possible to
01:02:43.200 --> 01:02:45.900
draw attention start to that in some way in the plan.
1230
01:02:46.700 --> 01:02:46.900
and
1231
01:02:48.200 --> 01:02:51.400
yes the applicant and yes, it would
1232
01:02:51.400 --> 01:02:54.600
 be possible to to specify within
1233
01:02:54.600 --> 01:02:57.800
the ctmp that if there are signs and
1234
01:02:57.800 --> 01:03:00.400
 diversions from the srn that yeah contractors will
1235
01:03:00.400 --> 01:03:03.900
be required to follow those and we we
01:03:03.900 --> 01:03:06.600
 see this as something that a considerate contractor
1237
01:03:06.600 --> 01:03:09.600
would would carry out naturally. Yes, of
1238
01:03:09.600 --> 01:03:12.100
 course, but I'll just thinking front loading things. It will be
useful
1239
01:03:12.100 --> 01:03:12.900
to have it flagged.
1240
01:03:13.600 --> 01:03:15.400
Earlier on yeah, thank you. Okay.
```

```
1241
01:03:17.400 --> 01:03:20.600
Some accounts council. Do you won't have anything to say on this
matter
1242
01:03:20.600 --> 01:03:23.100
 this question. So not only clearly we
1243
01:03:23.100 --> 01:03:27.200
would welcome an Express reference in the construction
1244
01:03:26.200 --> 01:03:30.300
traffic management plan to adherence
1245
01:03:29.300 --> 01:03:32.200
to signage in the event that
1246
01:03:32.200 --> 01:03:36.200
National highways implements. It's a 11
1247
01:03:36.200 --> 01:03:39.300
 improvements in a construction period that overlaps with
1248
01:03:39.300 --> 01:03:43.500
the construction period for this project so we would welcome what I
1249
01:03:42.500 --> 01:03:45.900
 think Mr. Carter is just indicated and
1250
01:03:45.900 --> 01:03:48.100
 presumably if it's it's actually put in the
1251
01:03:48.100 --> 01:03:51.600
 form of a relatively General catch all about National Highway
1252
01:03:51.600 --> 01:03:54.300
 signposted diversions that sweep up anything wouldn't it?
1253
01:03:54.300 --> 01:03:58.000
 So that would also be well it would
```

```
1254
01:03:57.200 --> 01:04:00.400
 I have to say whether it might be appropriate
1255
01:04:00.400 --> 01:04:04.400
 to widen it to any sign diversions
1256
01:04:03.400 --> 01:04:06.700
whether it's National highways or indeed
1257
01:04:06.700 --> 01:04:10.500
 whether there are local Highway diversions at
1258
01:04:09.500 --> 01:04:13.100
 the time of implementation effectively requiring
1259
01:04:12.100 --> 01:04:15.400
 the contractor to comply with
1260
01:04:15.400 --> 01:04:17.200
 any diversion routes.
1261
01:04:17.500 --> 01:04:20.400
So you could have an offline discussion about that. I'm sure we put
1262
01:04:20.400 --> 01:04:23.400
 that on seven. Yes. Thank you, Cambridgeshire.
1263
01:04:24.500 --> 01:04:26.200
Mr. Hamid you say anything
1264
01:04:32.500 --> 01:04:33.100
No anybody else?
1265
01:04:34.400 --> 01:04:36.200
The all done on that question. Thank you.
1266
01:04:37.500 --> 01:04:38.400
So let's just
1267
01:04:40.500 --> 01:04:45.000
```

```
On from hgv Route
1268
01:04:44.500 --> 01:04:47.400
 all together. Oh, no. I'm kind. I'm
1269
01:04:47.400 --> 01:04:50.700
 just moving on to my next question, right? So,
1270
01:04:50.700 --> 01:04:53.300
 yes. No, thank you. It's just it's just that
1271
01:04:53.300 --> 01:04:55.700
 that's the first issue. I wanted to to raise.
1272
01:04:56.500 --> 01:04:58.100
Thank you everybody.
1273
01:05:00.500 --> 01:05:00.800
S0
1274
01:05:02.200 --> 01:05:05.500
next down to the applicant. We note your responses.
1275
01:05:06.600 --> 01:05:09.100
to our second written questions
1276
01:05:10.300 --> 01:05:12.600
to ten nine and two ten ten
1277
01:05:13.600 --> 01:05:16.500
inspect and respects of having us
1278
01:05:16.500 --> 01:05:19.500
 vehicles on Lahore Road, and we thank you
1279
01:05:19.500 --> 01:05:22.600
for including the extract from the Department for transports guide
1280
01:05:22.600 --> 01:05:25.100
 to loretypes and weights, which I think is
```

```
1281
01:05:25.100 --> 01:05:25.600
 quite useful.
1282
01:05:26.700 --> 01:05:29.100
Bearing in mind that a heavy Goods vehicle could be anything from
1283
01:05:29.100 --> 01:05:31.300
 seven and a half tons to 40 plus.
1284
01:05:32.100 --> 01:05:35.800
We've seen very few have a good vehicles of any classification on
1285
01:05:35.800 --> 01:05:36.700
 the whole Road.
1286
01:05:37.500 --> 01:05:40.100
But our understanding is that the few have a
1287
01:05:40.100 --> 01:05:42.400
 good vehicles currently using their Home Road.
1288
01:05:43.100 --> 01:05:46.200
Are all two actual rigid body vehicles with a maximum
1289
01:05:46.200 --> 01:05:47.300
weight of 18 tons.
1290
01:05:48.500 --> 01:05:51.300
And also that all your proposed heavily Goods
1291
01:05:51.300 --> 01:05:54.600
 Vehicles will be at the other end of the scale as it were four
1292
01:05:54.600 --> 01:05:56.500
 and five axle articulated vehicles.
1293
01:05:57.700 --> 01:06:00.200
And from our observations, we also note.
1294
01:06:01.200 --> 01:06:05.200
```

```
That the existing Road doesn't appear to be wide enough to allow
such
1295
01:06:04.200 --> 01:06:07.800
 vehicles to pass each other water pass
1296
01:06:07.800 --> 01:06:08.800
 on the vehicles safely.
1297
01:06:09.800 --> 01:06:12.300
So first off in that
1298
01:06:12.300 --> 01:06:12.500
 respect.
1299
01:06:13.600 --> 01:06:16.500
If you could confirm where and how
1300
01:06:16.500 --> 01:06:19.500
 you propose to construct passing places presumed that
1301
01:06:19.500 --> 01:06:21.300
 you will want to construct passing places.
1302
01:06:22.700 --> 01:06:25.900
If you could remind us where receptor
1303
01:06:25.900 --> 01:06:28.300
 are Oasis that she refer
1304
01:06:28.300 --> 01:06:31.300
 to but also if you could enlarge on
1305
01:06:31.300 --> 01:06:31.800
 your view.
1306
01:06:33.200 --> 01:06:37.200
That the heavy Goods Vehicles proposed for construction and
presumably
1307
01:06:36.200 --> 01:06:38.400
```

```
also for decommissioning.
1308
01:06:39.200 --> 01:06:43.300
Will not have a significant impact on what's currently acquired to
01:06:43.300 --> 01:06:43.800
 narrow road.
1310
01:06:45.500 --> 01:06:48.400
So the applicant first, please so Richard anything.
1311
01:06:48.400 --> 01:06:51.300
 I'm sorry. So I missed your question. You asked a
1312
01:06:51.300 --> 01:06:55.800
 document about a document. Where would I where would you find that
I didn't
1313
01:06:55.800 --> 01:06:58.500
 get the reference that you made? Oh, sorry your responses
1314
01:06:58.500 --> 01:07:02.000
 to our second written questions it just
1315
01:07:01.100 --> 01:07:04.400
 in the the something that the final questions that you posed
1316
01:07:04.400 --> 01:07:09.300
 to us that I think the penultimate question was. Oh, I'll
1317
01:07:07.300 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.200
 just repeat the three. It's
1318
01:07:10.200 --> 01:07:13.400
 easy enough if you could firstly confirm where and
1319
01:07:13.400 --> 01:07:15.400
 how you propose to construct passing places.
1320
01:07:17.200 --> 01:07:20.800
And secondly where receptor Ro
```

```
1321
01:07:20.800 --> 01:07:23.300
8 is I think that's referred to in your responses
1322
01:07:23.300 --> 01:07:24.700
 on Lahore road somewhere.
1323
01:07:25.400 --> 01:07:28.500
And thirdly in
1324
01:07:28.500 --> 01:07:31.300
 large on your view that the heavy Goods Vehicles
1325
01:07:31.300 --> 01:07:32.500
 proposed for construction.
1326
01:07:33.400 --> 01:07:36.600
And presumably also for decommissioning will not
1327
01:07:36.600 --> 01:07:39.400
have a significance impact on what's currently requires a
1328
01:07:39.400 --> 01:07:42.600
narrow road. I was thinking the location of receptors relevant
1329
01:07:42.600 --> 01:07:43.600
to an assessment of
1330
01:07:44.500 --> 01:07:45.300
thank you Pat.
1331
01:07:47.800 --> 01:07:51.800
I'll hand over to Mr. Carter when he's ready to answer the questions
1332
01:07:50.800 --> 01:07:53.300
 first of all about passing
1333
01:07:53.300 --> 01:07:56.600
 places and perhaps the impact we can
```

1334

```
01:07:56.600 --> 01:08:00.500
 get the receptor location whilst you're doing that's that helps.
Absolutely Mr.
1335
01:08:00.500 --> 01:08:03.900
 Carter for the applicant and the highways works
01:08:03.900 --> 01:08:06.400
 on both Elms Road and Lahore Road are
1337
01:08:06.400 --> 01:08:10.100
 discussed in in the ctmp reference five
1338
01:08:09.100 --> 01:08:13.200
 a15 and they
1339
01:08:12.200 --> 01:08:16.400
 follow from paragraphs 5.2.6.
1340
01:08:17.500 --> 01:08:22.200
through to 5.2.11 the
1341
01:08:24.300 --> 01:08:27.100
there were indicative plans within the annex C.
1342
01:08:28.700 --> 01:08:31.600
The referenced in 5.2.10. I could
1343
01:08:31.600 --> 01:08:34.100
 read out the drawings, but they're quite lengthy of
1344
01:08:35.300 --> 01:08:39.200
It was just simply to get an overview of how much I got roads. Yeah,
1345
01:08:38.200 --> 01:08:41.900
 the whole Road start with you've really gonna
1346
01:08:41.900 --> 01:08:44.600
need to so it's straight and whether you actually do it bearing
1347
01:08:44.600 --> 01:08:47.500
```

```
in mind after our ditches on to the side it is the section
1348
01:08:47.500 --> 01:08:50.200
between between Elms Road.
1349
01:08:50.200 --> 01:08:54.000
Sorry between the all and the lahog
1350
01:08:53.100 --> 01:08:56.100
 road access. Yes, which is
1351
01:08:56.100 --> 01:08:59.500
approximately 400 meters in length, and we've got
1352
01:08:59.500 --> 01:09:02.800
plans within the with as
1353
01:09:02.800 --> 01:09:06.800
referenced which show where where
1354
01:09:05.800 --> 01:09:08.400
we could widen the
1355
01:09:08.400 --> 01:09:11.300
Carriage Way to enable sufficient passing places
1356
01:09:11.300 --> 01:09:14.700
for two hgv's and
1357
01:09:14.700 --> 01:09:17.500
a long distance ensuring that there's sufficient forward
1358
01:09:17.500 --> 01:09:21.000
visibility between each of the passing places and
1359
01:09:20.600 --> 01:09:23.100
we have so you can
1360
01:09:23.100 --> 01:09:26.200
see to the next one. Yeah, exactly. So you don't follow down a
blind alley and
```

```
1361
01:09:26.200 --> 01:09:29.600
 then realize you've got to see yeah, you can
1362
01:09:29.600 --> 01:09:31.100
 always see far enough ahead and
1363
01:09:32.300 --> 01:09:35.400
and the there's enough space within the order limits
1364
01:09:35.400 --> 01:09:38.400
to ensure that that those passing places can
1365
01:09:38.400 --> 01:09:41.500
 be provided and the order limits is included within the
1366
01:09:41.500 --> 01:09:42.700
 drawings referenced.
1367
01:09:44.800 --> 01:09:47.700
The does that I'm just wondering just
1368
01:09:47.700 --> 01:09:50.100
 opposite the roads got Hedges set back
1369
01:09:50.100 --> 01:09:53.600
 from the tarmac and but are you satisfied the
1370
01:09:53.600 --> 01:09:56.200
 given the cross-section of the road you you can actually be able
1371
01:09:56.200 --> 01:09:59.300
 to do that because it's one thing to look at a plan and it's
another thing
1372
01:09:59.300 --> 01:10:02.400
to go and have a good look and see what the road actually looks
like. Yes. We've
1373
01:10:02.400 --> 01:10:05.800
we've undertaken a site visit you to review
```

```
1374
01:10:05.800 --> 01:10:06.000
that.
1375
01:10:06.900 --> 01:10:09.000
Initially that it can let it can happen.
1376
01:10:10.100 --> 01:10:13.300
Fine, so that's yes. We're talking
1377
01:10:13.300 --> 01:10:15.200
about Lahore Road at the moment, aren't we?
1378
01:10:16.200 --> 01:10:16.800
So, okay.
1379
01:10:18.700 --> 01:10:20.100
as to the other parts of the question
1380
01:10:21.100 --> 01:10:23.100
I think the I think the key points.
1381
01:10:24.100 --> 01:10:27.400
On the on this section are the duration of the
1382
01:10:27.400 --> 01:10:30.900
 construction period being relatively limited and
1383
01:10:30.900 --> 01:10:33.700
the the red, I
1384
01:10:33.700 --> 01:10:36.300
mean whilst we are having a high percentage impact.
1385
01:10:37.200 --> 01:10:40.800
in overall terms, the the total
1386
01:10:40.800 --> 01:10:43.200
numbers of hgv's are relatively limited and
1387
```

```
01:10:43.200 --> 01:10:46.600
 are mitigation is ensuring that the hgv's can
1388
01:10:46.600 --> 01:10:49.500
 pass each other because I'm just I'm just coming from
1389
01:10:49.500 --> 01:10:52.800
the Viewpoint that at the moment in respect
1390
01:10:52.800 --> 01:10:53.200
of the
1391
01:10:54.400 --> 01:10:55.900
size of vehicle that you're going to be using.
1392
01:10:56.900 --> 01:10:58.100
At the moment, there aren't any.
1393
01:10:59.500 --> 01:11:00.400
but they're going to be
1394
01:11:01.200 --> 01:11:04.000
quite a few so percentage terms you're talking.
1395
01:11:05.800 --> 01:11:08.900
That's got that that is true. But it's
1396
01:11:08.900 --> 01:11:11.800
 also the case that because there are relatively few
1397
01:11:11.800 --> 01:11:15.200
hgvs on the road at the moment. There will
1398
01:11:14.200 --> 01:11:17.200
be few instances where hgv's come
1399
01:11:17.200 --> 01:11:20.600
 in to um by pass each other. Mmm. I'm
1400
01:11:20.600 --> 01:11:23.300
 thinking more about the impact on receptors.
```

```
1401
01:11:24.800 --> 01:11:25.100
Yep.
1402
01:11:26.600 --> 01:11:29.100
I mean that view is not that sort of the last part of
1403
01:11:29.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:29.700
 my question really.
1404
01:11:30.900 --> 01:11:33.700
in terms of noise impacts or
1405
01:11:33.700 --> 01:11:37.100
 the visual impacts of noise safety
1406
01:11:36.100 --> 01:11:40.200
 General immunity all the
1407
01:11:40.200 --> 01:11:43.800
 things that will happen that don't happen at the moment because
there aren't any do
1408
01:11:43.800 --> 01:11:46.100
 you want to pick up the safety point and then we
1409
01:11:46.100 --> 01:11:47.400
 can pick up noise separately perhaps
1410
01:11:48.100 --> 01:11:48.200
0kay.
1411
01:11:49.200 --> 01:11:52.600
And this I mean from from the say from
1412
01:11:52.600 --> 01:11:55.900
 the perspective of safety, that's the that's effectively
1413
01:11:55.900 --> 01:11:58.600
 the purpose of ensuring that there is sufficient
```

```
1414
01:11:58.600 --> 01:12:01.500
 space to enable these https to
1415
01:12:01.500 --> 01:12:04.600
 pass without without running in Into The
1416
01:12:04.600 --> 01:12:07.500
Verge or without colliding with each other. Yeah. I'm thinking
1417
01:12:07.500 --> 01:12:11.100
 about other Road users as well. Not just other trucks. So there's
1418
01:12:10.100 --> 01:12:14.200
 a safety aspect in respect of pedestrian
1419
01:12:13.200 --> 01:12:17.200
 cyclists horse riders non-motion
1420
01:12:16.200 --> 01:12:19.400
 users. Yeah cars all
1421
01:12:19.400 --> 01:12:22.600
 the people who use it at the moment and don't see any Heavens
vehicles
1422
01:12:22.600 --> 01:12:25.100
 of a large size because there aren't any
1423
01:12:25.100 --> 01:12:26.500
 and then all of a sudden they're going to see
1424
01:12:28.300 --> 01:12:31.500
Have a good vehicles of a large size. So does the immunity safety?
1425
01:12:32.800 --> 01:12:35.200
Etc aspects which I'm asking
1426
01:12:35.200 --> 01:12:35.400
 you to
1427
```

```
01:12:37.400 --> 01:12:40.100
enlarge on in terms of your response to our question.
1428
01:12:40.800 --> 01:12:43.100
Then you responded to our written question, but I wanted
1429
01:12:43.100 --> 01:12:45.400
 if you were able to say why you thought the
1430
01:12:47.500 --> 01:12:48.700
impact wasn't significant
1431
01:12:50.100 --> 01:12:54.400
I mean it is because and you can look at the the tape
1432
01:12:53.400 --> 01:12:56.900
 that the numbers of vehicles that set
1433
01:12:56.900 --> 01:12:59.200
 out within the within the environmental
1434
01:12:59.200 --> 01:13:02.300
 statement that they are it is a it
1435
01:13:02.300 --> 01:13:05.700
 is a short time period And I can't quote
1436
01:13:05.700 --> 01:13:08.500
 the exact vehicle number of vehicles of my head, but
1437
01:13:08.500 --> 01:13:11.800
 they are a it is a relatively small number
1438
01:13:11.800 --> 01:13:14.200
 if you look at it in terms of numbers of
1439
01:13:14.200 --> 01:13:17.400
 vehicles per minute or per hour and because
1440
01:13:17.400 --> 01:13:19.800
 they are spread across the day and also they are.
```

```
1441
01:13:20.500 --> 01:13:25.400
And their specifically timed to avoid the network
1442
01:13:24.400 --> 01:13:27.700
 peak hours. Hmm. I'm just
1443
01:13:27.700 --> 01:13:28.300
 thinking that
1444
01:13:29.400 --> 01:13:34.300
the characteristic of the highway here isn't really a
1445
01:13:32.300 --> 01:13:35.500
 busy road in the peak where
1446
01:13:35.500 --> 01:13:39.000
you just adding a bit on it's a highway
1447
01:13:38.300 --> 01:13:41.200
 that at the moment has none of
1448
01:13:41.200 --> 01:13:44.300
this stuff and it's going to have Summit sort of
1449
01:13:45.700 --> 01:13:48.400
straight away. So that's whatever percentage that
1450
01:13:48.400 --> 01:13:49.600
 is and
1451
01:13:51.200 --> 01:13:54.500
how that doesn't mean that the impact is significant.
1452
01:13:55.600 --> 01:13:56.900
Because the Baseline is zero.
1453
01:13:57.700 --> 01:13:59.500
So that's really my question.
1454
```

```
01:14:00.500 --> 01:14:02.200
Mr. How you said that
1455
01:14:04.600 --> 01:14:07.400
in your view, they won't have a significant impact on
1456
01:14:07.400 --> 01:14:09.800
 the road. But some I just wondering if you could
1457
01:14:10.400 --> 01:14:12.100
tell me a bit more about why you think that is.
1458
01:14:13.600 --> 01:14:16.200
Rather than just saying that it won't
1459
01:14:16.200 --> 01:14:18.100
 be a significant impact. That's all I'm thinking.
1460
01:14:19.200 --> 01:14:22.600
I I don't believe I have just said there wouldn't be a significantly
Ι
1461
01:14:22.600 --> 01:14:26.100
 have made the point that there's the the duration
1462
01:14:25.100 --> 01:14:28.700
 of the construction phase is very limited. And
1463
01:14:28.700 --> 01:14:31.500
 also the the numbers or vehicles
1464
01:14:31.500 --> 01:14:34.700
 in absolute terms are again
1465
01:14:34.700 --> 01:14:37.100
 relatively limited and there are
1466
01:14:37.100 --> 01:14:40.700
 fewer as I mean as you said about the Baseline there
1467
01:14:40.700 --> 01:14:43.400
```

```
are fewer receptors in terms of other vehicles that might
1468
01:14:43.400 --> 01:14:46.400
 experience delay or safety issues because as
1469
01:14:46.400 --> 01:14:49.300
you say there is very limited traffic there and it
1470
01:14:49.300 --> 01:14:51.800
 is only a very short length or very between.
1471
01:14:52.900 --> 01:14:55.300
The srn. I'm just thinking
1472
01:14:55.300 --> 01:14:56.300
that there's some.
1473
01:14:57.200 --> 01:14:58.900
at the
1474
01:15:00.300 --> 01:15:03.900
Place opposite of your access there will be quite a few receptors.
So
1475
01:15:03.900 --> 01:15:06.700
 I was wondering that was where the
1476
01:15:06.700 --> 01:15:09.100
 question about receptor Ro 8 came in
1477
01:15:09.100 --> 01:15:09.700
as to where you're
1478
01:15:10.300 --> 01:15:13.400
steps of was on that road processing impact
1479
01:15:13.400 --> 01:15:16.300
 Richard Turney for the applicant. Say the
1480
01:15:16.300 --> 01:15:19.600
 receptor Ro 8 is lahog farmtrop.
```

```
1481
01:15:19.600 --> 01:15:22.600
Yeah, that's what I thought was. Yeah, so it's
1482
01:15:22.600 --> 01:15:23.500
 used in air quality.
1483
01:15:24.500 --> 01:15:27.200
Receptor hmm. There's a noise.
1484
01:15:27.200 --> 01:15:29.200
 I think there's no there's noise reception in the same location.
1485
01:15:30.400 --> 01:15:33.200
Yeah, so there's a noise there's a noise assessments as well.
1486
01:15:33.200 --> 01:15:36.200
 So in terms of those immunity impacts and
1487
01:15:36.200 --> 01:15:39.900
 the noise section of the es the construction and
1488
01:15:39.900 --> 01:15:42.700
 decommissioning traffic noise assessment concludes that
1489
01:15:42.700 --> 01:15:42.800
 the
1490
01:15:44.700 --> 01:15:48.300
noise change on the assessment methodology would
1491
01:15:47.300 --> 01:15:52.300
be less than one DB.
1492
01:15:50.300 --> 01:15:53.700
It's well below
1493
01:15:53.700 --> 01:15:56.500
the ambient noise level the predicted construction traffic noise
and
```

```
1494
01:15:56.500 --> 01:15:59.200
 the result is a very low magnitude of impact
1495
01:15:59.200 --> 01:16:02.400
 and negligible significance of effect. So that's
1496
01:16:02.400 --> 01:16:03.100
 the assessment for
1497
01:16:04.500 --> 01:16:07.500
traffic noise in that location. Yeah in terms
1498
01:16:07.500 --> 01:16:07.500
 of
1499
01:16:08.500 --> 01:16:09.600
I think
1500
01:16:11.500 --> 01:16:14.400
your questions are I think to Mr. Carter were
1501
01:16:14.400 --> 01:16:15.600
we're focusing on What's the
1502
01:16:17.300 --> 01:16:20.400
with the effect on other users and I think it's it's
1503
01:16:21.400 --> 01:16:24.300
perhaps instructor. It's just just for your note chapter 13
1504
01:16:24.300 --> 01:16:28.700
 of the es page 13 hyphen 140.
1505
01:16:30.300 --> 01:16:33.400
which deals with the provides a
1506
01:16:33.400 --> 01:16:36.700
 table 13 high for 34 a summary of the residual effects
1507
01:16:36.700 --> 01:16:39.300
```

```
of construction in terms
1508
01:16:39.300 --> 01:16:42.400
 of transport and access matters, and there's an
01:16:42.400 --> 01:16:43.100
 identification of
1510
01:16:44.100 --> 01:16:50.100
effects on pedestrians and
1511
01:16:49.100 --> 01:16:53.000
 cyclists as nmu's of
1512
01:16:52.800 --> 01:16:56.900
 roads which includes that
1513
01:16:55.900 --> 01:16:58.800
that's there's an amenity assessment
1514
01:16:58.800 --> 01:17:02.900
which concludes minor adverse residual
1515
01:17:01.900 --> 01:17:04.700
there's a
1516
01:17:04.700 --> 01:17:08.500
 fear and intimidation assessment which in which
1517
01:17:08.500 --> 01:17:12.200
 concludes minor adverse residual and there's
1518
01:17:11.200 --> 01:17:14.200
 an accident and safety assessment which has
1519
01:17:14.200 --> 01:17:17.300
 the same conclusion minor adverse residual so
1520
01:17:20.200 --> 01:17:23.400
I think that's that those conclusions apply. I
```

```
1521
01:17:23.400 --> 01:17:24.300
 think to.
1522
01:17:25.500 --> 01:17:29.400
All of the sites with
1523
01:17:29.400 --> 01:17:33.600
the exception of the impacts that Burwell and
1524
01:17:32.600 --> 01:17:35.200
 on the grid connection
1525
01:17:35.200 --> 01:17:38.300
 route, but for the main sites that assessment is common across
1526
01:17:38.300 --> 01:17:41.200
the across the sites. So that's how
1527
01:17:41.200 --> 01:17:43.000
we assess it.
1528
01:17:45.100 --> 01:17:45.900
in terms of
1529
01:17:47.600 --> 01:17:50.100
The assessment methodology that's all set out in
01:17:50.100 --> 01:17:53.700
traps 13, so won't go through it, but you'll
1531
01:17:53.700 --> 01:17:56.800
 see for example the way
1532
01:17:56.800 --> 01:17:59.900
 in which the changes are described in table 13 hyphen 33
1533
01:17:59.900 --> 01:18:02.300
 is is in
1534
01:18:02.300 --> 01:18:06.000
```

```
relation to those changes both in well specifically in
1535
01:18:05.200 --> 01:18:08.200
 the hgv flows. So that's
01:18:08.200 --> 01:18:12.200
how it's been assessed. Yes. So it's a recognition of change but
1537
01:18:11.200 --> 01:18:15.200
we say the residual effects are to
1538
01:18:14.200 --> 01:18:18.200
be regarded as mine or adverse on those key NMU
1539
01:18:17.200 --> 01:18:20.800
 categories. So really the it's because
1540
01:18:20.800 --> 01:18:23.500
of taking hgv's as
1541
01:18:23.500 --> 01:18:23.900
 a whole
1542
01:18:24.800 --> 01:18:27.500
rather than it's a separate
1543
01:18:27.500 --> 01:18:30.700
 classifications. So you you have
1544
01:18:30.700 --> 01:18:31.800
a number of hqvs.
1545
01:18:32.900 --> 01:18:35.300
As a whole existing and then another
1546
01:18:35.300 --> 01:18:38.700
 number as a whole with the construction.
1547
01:18:41.200 --> 01:18:41.700
Yeah.
```

```
1548
01:18:44.800 --> 01:18:47.600
well, because there's a
1549
01:18:47.600 --> 01:18:48.900
 there's a
1550
01:18:50.200 --> 01:18:52.200
in terms of the lower classifications
1551
01:18:53.100 --> 01:18:56.500
There won't be any increase because you you are going to be using
any.
1552
01:18:57.800 --> 01:19:00.200
Two axle rigid Vehicles you're going to be using bigger ones.
1553
01:19:00.200 --> 01:19:01.700
 So the increases all of the
1554
01:19:02.400 --> 01:19:05.600
We'll top end as it were but the assessment that's
1555
01:19:05.600 --> 01:19:09.100
 being carried out in the es on
1556
01:19:08.100 --> 01:19:12.500
 those identified impacts on non-motorised
1557
01:19:11.500 --> 01:19:14.500
users is by reference to
1558
01:19:14.500 --> 01:19:17.500
 the hgv trips that are proposed and
1559
01:19:17.500 --> 01:19:20.200
 it's only during the construction and the commissioning period so
1560
01:19:20.200 --> 01:19:23.400
 there isn't a sort of averaging approach. It is
1561
```

```
01:19:23.400 --> 01:19:27.000
 looking at those impacts during those periods and
1562
01:19:29.300 --> 01:19:32.100
that there is a lahog road is
1563
01:19:32.100 --> 01:19:36.200
 one of the areas that's identified in the narrative in that chapter
where
1564
01:19:35.200 --> 01:19:39.000
 where particular consideration is
1565
01:19:38.500 --> 01:19:41.300
 given various factors
1566
01:19:41.300 --> 01:19:44.600
 relied on in concluding that the impacts Reserve
1567
01:19:44.600 --> 01:19:51.000
 described minor adverse and that
1568
01:19:48.000 --> 01:19:51.500
 their
1569
01:19:51.500 --> 01:19:55.100
 short term impacts because they're only during the construction
period those considerations
1570
01:19:54.100 --> 01:19:57.800
 include the current Baseline to
1571
01:19:57.800 --> 01:19:58.100
 how many
1572
01:19:58.900 --> 01:20:01.500
how many users are affected on those
1573
01:20:01.500 --> 01:20:04.400
 roads but also takes into account
1574
```

```
01:20:04.400 --> 01:20:07.700
 the fact that there is
1575
01:20:09.200 --> 01:20:10.000
that the
1576
01:20:11.500 --> 01:20:15.100
the construction traffic flows will be across
1577
01:20:14.100 --> 01:20:18.200
the operational hours rather than being
1578
01:20:17.200 --> 01:20:19.200
all in.
1579
01:20:20.300 --> 01:20:23.400
One go, although as it notes.
1580
01:20:23.400 --> 01:20:28.100
There's a there's a judgment that has been made which takes
1581
01:20:27.100 --> 01:20:30.300
 count to the fact that you spent more arrivals at
1582
01:20:30.300 --> 01:20:34.300
 the start of the day and more departures at the end. So, that's
1583
01:20:34.300 --> 01:20:37.100
 the assessment that's been made. So I don't I don't think
1584
01:20:37.100 --> 01:20:41.100
 it's confined Mister Castle will run
1585
01:20:40.100 --> 01:20:43.600
 by dating is confined just a percentage change
1586
01:20:43.600 --> 01:20:46.300
 in the number of hgvs of a particular category, and it's
1587
01:20:46.300 --> 01:20:49.600
 looking at a number of factors including the sensitivity of the
```

```
receptor
1588
01:20:49.600 --> 01:20:52.600
when you're identifying is different potential impacts
01:20:52.600 --> 01:20:55.800
and assessing the residual likely significant
1590
01:20:55.800 --> 01:20:58.000
 effects for yes purposes. Thank you.
1591
01:21:00.900 --> 01:21:03.500
Suffolk County council, do you have any coins you'd
1592
01:21:03.500 --> 01:21:06.300
 like to make on the questions? I've just put the applicant.
1593
01:21:06.300 --> 01:21:10.200
What's so your specific questions related
1594
01:21:09.200 --> 01:21:12.300
to lahog road, which is
1595
01:21:12.300 --> 01:21:16.100
 obviously in Cambridge year, although Mr. Carter's
1596
01:21:15.100 --> 01:21:18.600
 response. I think also embraced the treatment
1597
01:21:18.600 --> 01:21:21.600
 for Elms Road, which is in suffer.
1598
01:21:21.600 --> 01:21:24.700
And so I was taking it discussion actually broadened out
1599
01:21:24.700 --> 01:21:27.100
 to include all the easier to
1600
01:21:27.100 --> 01:21:30.600
 do it this way. Yeah, obviously so for so far as Elms
```

```
1601
01:21:30.600 --> 01:21:33.800
 Road is concerned which obviously you're familiar with is
relatively narrow
1602
01:21:33.800 --> 01:21:37.900
 rural Lane the same sort of considerations arise,
1603
01:21:36.900 --> 01:21:40.000
what would
1604
01:21:39.400 --> 01:21:42.000
 just be helpful to have just a little bit of
1605
01:21:42.800 --> 01:21:45.800
 clarification if possible in again Elms
1606
01:21:45.800 --> 01:21:48.300
 Road, it is proposed as I understand it that there will
1607
01:21:48.300 --> 01:21:52.500
 be parking sorry passing places provided
1608
01:21:51.500 --> 01:21:54.300
to enable
1609
01:21:56.300 --> 01:21:59.900
The larger construction vehicles to safely
1610
01:21:59.900 --> 01:22:02.300
maneuver, but it
1611
01:22:02.300 --> 01:22:05.500
would be helpful to understand what is intended in relation
1612
01:22:05.500 --> 01:22:09.100
to those path passing places post
1613
01:22:08.100 --> 01:22:11.400
 construction is it intended that
```

1614

```
01:22:11.400 --> 01:22:14.500
 they are effectively to be retained and become
1615
01:22:14.500 --> 01:22:17.500
part of the adopted highway is it intended they are removed.
1616
01:22:17.500 --> 01:22:20.200
 It's not at the moment clear to us.
1617
01:22:20.200 --> 01:22:24.000
What is the intention in
1618
01:22:23.400 --> 01:22:26.400
 relation to that and then I have got a separate
1619
01:22:26.400 --> 01:22:29.200
 point which I do want to make but it might not fit into
1620
01:22:29.200 --> 01:22:32.900
 this discussion about numbers of
1621
01:22:32.900 --> 01:22:36.000
 movements and the question of cats that's perhaps
1622
01:22:35.100 --> 01:22:38.600
 a different topic. So if I can just flag it
1623
01:22:38.600 --> 01:22:41.600
 but not develop it. So the query was
1624
01:22:41.600 \longrightarrow 01:22:44.300
 the first point. Yes. Thank you. I think the cat question
1625
01:22:44.300 --> 01:22:47.600
will come later. Yes if you want to carry on.
1626
01:22:47.600 --> 01:22:50.100
Yeah, but beyond.
1627
01:22:53.100 --> 01:22:56.500
Okay, it's just confusing the fact that you have to have no
```

```
1628
01:22:56.500 --> 01:22:59.200
 light to mean that the microphone is live as
1629
01:22:59.200 --> 01:23:03.400
 opposed to a light which means that it's mute. I apologize. No,
1630
01:23:02.400 \longrightarrow 01:23:05.700
 so in principle there
1631
01:23:05.700 --> 01:23:06.700
has been dialogue we are.
1632
01:23:08.800 --> 01:23:11.500
Making progress on sorting out the detail of
1633
01:23:11.500 --> 01:23:15.300
 how hgv movements will safely
1634
01:23:14.300 --> 01:23:18.900
 be able to access the sites and the
1635
01:23:17.900 --> 01:23:21.800
house being some useful discussion
1636
01:23:20.800 --> 01:23:23.500
 on that.
1637
01:23:23.500 --> 01:23:26.500
There are as you know, the issues about
1638
01:23:26.500 --> 01:23:30.100
 the site access is the visibility displays and
1639
01:23:29.100 --> 01:23:32.600
 so on but on this position of the movement
1640
01:23:32.600 --> 01:23:35.200
 on the local roads, we are
```

1641

```
01:23:35.200 --> 01:23:38.500
 happy with the way that it's
1642
01:23:38.500 --> 01:23:42.100
 going. The reason why I raise caps
1643
01:23:41.100 --> 01:23:44.400
 is because it does come back to a point of wanting
1644
01:23:44.400 --> 01:23:47.700
to ensure that if the outcomes in
1645
01:23:47.700 --> 01:23:50.500
the environmental assessment and Mr. Carter
1646
01:23:50.500 --> 01:23:54.000
 and a friend have rehearsed
1647
01:23:53.100 --> 01:23:56.500
what those impacts are assessed as
1648
01:23:56.500 --> 01:23:59.400
 in order to ensure that the
1649
01:23:59.400 --> 01:24:02.700
 development remains within the parameters of
1650
01:24:02.700 --> 01:24:05.500
 the environmental assessment one needs a form of
1651
01:24:05.500 \longrightarrow 01:24:08.700
 control which will ensure that the HG.
1652
01:24:08.900 --> 01:24:10.400
movements remain
1653
01:24:11.200 --> 01:24:13.000
as per the environmental assessment
1654
01:24:14.300 --> 01:24:17.100
so that those are the comments I want to make on this item. Thank
```

```
1655
01:24:17.100 --> 01:24:20.000
 you Mr. Hamid. You have anything you want to
1656
01:24:20.000 --> 01:24:20.800
 say?
1657
01:24:22.500 --> 01:24:25.500
Okay, Camilla Rose became Michelle County Council.
1658
01:24:25.500 --> 01:24:28.500
Thank you. So and yes, I
1659
01:24:28.500 --> 01:24:31.800
 just don't pick up on a couple of points there.
1660
01:24:31.800 --> 01:24:34.800
 And we we have asked for
1661
01:24:34.800 --> 01:24:38.600
 local roads to be included within the
1662
01:24:37.600 --> 01:24:40.700
 rights were in Access plans identified
1663
01:24:40.700 --> 01:24:43.800
 as part because people do use them as
1664
01:24:43.800 --> 01:24:46.400
part of their nmu's use
1665
01:24:46.400 --> 01:24:49.500
 them as part of their Network rights were
1666
01:24:49.500 --> 01:24:52.500
 connected by local roads and to make their
1667
01:24:52.500 --> 01:24:54.200
 circuits. So it's important that
1668
```

```
01:24:55.200 --> 01:24:58.600
when an assessing adverse impact that is viewed
1669
01:24:58.600 --> 01:25:01.500
 as the totality of the route that people use which
1670
01:25:01.500 --> 01:25:04.700
 includes Local Rose. I believe in the latest
1671
01:25:04.700 --> 01:25:07.500
 that late submission
1672
01:25:07.500 --> 01:25:10.300
 on the 8th of February that
1673
01:25:10.300 --> 01:25:13.800
 the applicant did indicate they would add local roads.
1674
01:25:14.500 --> 01:25:17.200
To the relevant plan. I hope that will be done because that
1675
01:25:17.200 --> 01:25:17.500
will help.
1676
01:25:18.200 --> 01:25:22.700
Give a holistic view of the these impacts to nmu's
1677
01:25:21.700 --> 01:25:25.500
 in the local communities. These are
1678
01:25:25.500 \longrightarrow 01:25:29.000
 good examples. I think Elms Road Links to
1679
01:25:28.800 --> 01:25:31.200
 badlingham road. Yeah on a different
1680
01:25:31.200 --> 01:25:34.200
 on related point. We we know
1681
01:25:34.200 --> 01:25:37.400
 that local equestrians in the area particularly use these
```

```
1682
01:25:37.400 --> 01:25:40.400
 roots and it's in
1683
01:25:40.400 --> 01:25:43.300
 our experiment plans that the questions
1684
01:25:43.300 --> 01:25:46.200
 are particularly vulnerable on roads and they don't
1685
01:25:46.200 --> 01:25:49.300
have many other routes they can use at all. So the local Road
network
1686
01:25:49.300 --> 01:25:52.500
 is particularly important for them. And so we
1687
01:25:52.500 --> 01:25:56.100
do need to see I think more consideration of
1688
01:25:57.300 --> 01:26:00.400
and how these roots and the
1689
01:26:00.400 --> 01:26:04.000
 construction period will be managed for to protect
1690
01:26:03.300 --> 01:26:06.300
 those users and picking up
1691
01:26:06.300 --> 01:26:09.500
 on colleagues and like a
1692
01:26:09.500 --> 01:26:13.900
 bed was point about the long term intentions for
1693
01:26:12.900 --> 01:26:15.200
 for example
1694
01:26:15.200 --> 01:26:18.800
 those passing places and how that
```

```
1695
01:26:18.800 --> 01:26:21.300
 perhaps could be used for as a
1696
01:26:22.100 --> 01:26:25.500
Mitigation benefit of the scheme for
1697
01:26:25.500 --> 01:26:28.400
 those vulnerable users in the longer term would
1698
01:26:28.400 --> 01:26:29.800
 be really appreciated. I think.
1699
01:26:31.900 --> 01:26:32.300
Thank you.
1700
01:26:35.500 --> 01:26:39.000
Let's see Hands. Would you like to respond please straight
1701
01:26:38.100 --> 01:26:41.600
 up against early Bridge attorney for the applicant. I
1702
01:26:41.600 --> 01:26:41.800
 think.
1703
01:26:43.500 --> 01:26:46.400
Just a couple of points from what's been referred to just now
1704
01:26:46.400 --> 01:26:50.000
we'll double check about the passing
1705
01:26:49.100 --> 01:26:53.200
 places and how they're
1706
01:26:52.200 --> 01:26:55.200
 supposed to be dealt with they are shown on in the
1707
01:26:55.200 --> 01:26:58.300
 ctmp. It's Annex C. I think to the ctmp indicates
1708
01:26:58.300 --> 01:27:01.200
```

```
the location of those passing places for to know which
1709
01:27:01.200 --> 01:27:01.800
 part of
1710
01:27:02.700 --> 01:27:05.400
have been trying to find it and there
1711
01:27:05.400 --> 01:27:08.300
 are seven parts or more and they take a
1712
01:27:08.300 --> 01:27:11.300
 very long time to download. Yes. They do.
1713
01:27:14.200 --> 01:27:14.900
Thank you.
1714
01:27:16.700 --> 01:27:19.400
I think
1715
01:27:19.400 --> 01:27:22.900
 you referred to 5.2.10 in
1716
01:27:22.900 --> 01:27:25.300
 Annex C earlier on Mr. Carter.
1717
01:27:26.100 --> 01:27:30.000
So do you have any idea which part of nxc vis-a-vis the
1718
01:27:29.500 --> 01:27:31.000
 examination Library?
1719
01:27:33.800 --> 01:27:36.600
My colleagues are checking that I speak if
1720
01:27:36.600 --> 01:27:39.100
 it's possible to come back later on that would be
1721
01:27:39.100 --> 01:27:42.600
 appreciated. We'll deal after turning we'll do that. So we've
```

```
1722
01:27:42.600 --> 01:27:45.700
 got the drawings there. I can't envisage that
1723
01:27:45.700 --> 01:27:48.700
 any if there any passing places constructed during
1724
01:27:48.700 --> 01:27:51.200
 for the purposes of the construction, that would be
1725
01:27:51.200 --> 01:27:55.500
 any concern for the applicant in
1726
01:27:55.500 --> 01:27:58.800
terms of leaving those in place if that's
1727
01:27:58.800 --> 01:27:59.400
what was
1728
01:28:00.800 --> 01:28:04.700
asked for by the highway authorities. I suspect
1729
01:28:04.700 --> 01:28:07.700
 that would probably be made their life easier to leave them where
1730
01:28:07.700 --> 01:28:10.700
 they are at the end of the construction period so if that's the
request I'd
1731
01:28:10.700 --> 01:28:13.200
be surprised if we were pushing back in it unless there's something
I've
1732
01:28:13.200 --> 01:28:17.300
 missed about the request in terms
1733
01:28:17.300 --> 01:28:19.500
 of Roads points about
1734
01:28:22.100 --> 01:28:25.800
The plans the rights of way plans, I
```

```
1735
01:28:25.800 --> 01:28:28.700
 think show the local roads on them
1736
01:28:28.700 --> 01:28:31.400
 because they're part of the same base. I'm not
1737
01:28:31.400 --> 01:28:32.000
 sure.
1738
01:28:33.300 --> 01:28:36.200
What more we should be doing on a rights of way
1739
01:28:36.200 --> 01:28:36.400
 plan?
1740
01:28:38.100 --> 01:28:41.600
That they're that they're supposed
1741
01:28:41.600 --> 01:28:45.000
 to identify those those public rights away. So
1742
01:28:44.700 --> 01:28:47.300
 I think I don't
1743
01:28:47.300 --> 01:28:52.100
 think we're proposed to make any changes to those plans. So in
1744
01:28:51.100 --> 01:28:55.600
 terms of equestrians, I'm so
1745
01:28:55.600 --> 01:28:56.400
 sorry Mr. Tony.
1746
01:28:58.600 --> 01:29:02.200
Always 10 seconds behind you it you were
1747
01:29:01.200 --> 01:29:04.200
 going to provide a Consolidated set of
1748
01:29:04.200 --> 01:29:07.300
```

```
updated access and rights of way plans. I think weren't you?
1749
01:29:08.600 --> 01:29:13.200
Yeah, and then that's right. Yes. Yes, right.
01:29:20.700 --> 01:29:21.100
No, I
1751
01:29:24.300 --> 01:29:27.300
Don't I don't set the whole
1752
01:29:27.300 --> 01:29:30.900
 set of accessing rights where plans I don't think there is a new
set
1753
01:29:30.900 --> 01:29:32.600
being proposed, right?
1754
01:29:34.600 --> 01:29:38.400
unless I've unless I've missed something the plans that
1755
01:29:38.400 --> 01:29:40.600
we were referring to earlier is this point that the
1756
01:29:41.300 --> 01:29:45.400
Cambridge share have raised about the
1757
01:29:44.400 --> 01:29:48.200
 overlays I think of the
1758
01:29:48.200 \longrightarrow 01:29:51.400
 highway boundaries and that's something we're going to do outside
of
1759
01:29:51.400 --> 01:29:54.800
 the examination process, but I think it will involve some updating
1760
01:29:54.800 --> 01:29:57.800
 of the plans and the ctmp is all right. So some
1761
01:29:57.800 --> 01:30:00.100
```

```
point those ctmp plants will be addressed. But the
1762
01:30:00.100 --> 01:30:03.300
 I don't think there's an update the rights of
1763
01:30:03.300 --> 01:30:07.400
way plans. Can I just clarify them with Miss Rhodes? Is
1764
01:30:07.400 --> 01:30:09.800
that what exactly you're looking for?
1765
01:30:11.500 --> 01:30:14.400
And what so what
1766
01:30:14.400 --> 01:30:17.200
what would be helpful would be in terms of I mean
1767
01:30:17.200 --> 01:30:20.800
this speaks more to the being able to assess the adverse impact
1768
01:30:20.800 --> 01:30:23.500
 of the scheme on enemies and local communities
1769
01:30:23.500 --> 01:30:26.500
to see rights of
1770
01:30:26.500 --> 01:30:29.300
way with the connecting local roads, because that's what people
1771
01:30:29.300 --> 01:30:32.600
 use and we don't feel that this the assessments have
1772
01:30:32.600 --> 01:30:34.000
 taken that into account.
1773
01:30:35.100 --> 01:30:38.100
So that's that more wider point I think
1774
01:30:38.100 --> 01:30:41.300
 but but it comes down to seeing it on a plan and that
```

```
1775
01:30:41.300 --> 01:30:44.600
which hasn't been done. Yeah. Have you seen you I mean
1776
01:30:44.600 --> 01:30:48.500
 from memory that the rights of Wayne access plans
1777
01:30:47.500 --> 01:30:51.500
 show the rights of way in well in
1778
01:30:51.500 --> 01:30:54.300
 isolation. I guess that's right actually show that
1779
01:30:54.300 --> 01:30:57.400
what users would you would would
1780
01:30:58.700 --> 01:31:01.600
With War I suppose no. No, they
1781
01:31:01.600 --> 01:31:04.000
 don't exactly what you want to see and why.
1782
01:31:05.200 --> 01:31:06.500
Yes, I mean with yes.
1783
01:31:07.300 --> 01:31:08.500
Really it would be helpful.
1784
01:31:10.200 --> 01:31:12.100
I mean if and what would be the point of that.
1785
01:31:13.400 --> 01:31:16.800
I was just going to say that it could I appreciate
1786
01:31:16.800 --> 01:31:20.300
 that those plans have a particular purpose for a
1787
01:31:19.300 --> 01:31:22.500
 dco so it could be done on
1788
01:31:22.500 --> 01:31:24.300
```

```
a an a separate.
1789
01:31:25.500 --> 01:31:28.200
Analysis and analytical set of plans
1790
01:31:28.200 --> 01:31:31.300
 that that then could be attached
1791
01:31:31.300 --> 01:31:34.900
to I think I read it recently. It was a relationship the olimp
1792
01:31:34.900 --> 01:31:37.500
 actually that that was going to be the schedule of
1793
01:31:37.500 --> 01:31:39.900
 changes to the olemp. I was just trying to find it but
1794
01:31:40.900 --> 01:31:42.400
there's a lot of documents.
1795
01:31:43.400 --> 01:31:46.300
And that's my so it could be done in connection with
1796
01:31:46.300 --> 01:31:47.200
the olimp.
1797
01:31:47.700 --> 01:31:49.500
And with what sorry.
1798
01:31:50.400 --> 01:31:53.500
Oh the
1799
01:31:53.500 --> 01:31:54.500
 oh lamp. Yes, right.
1800
01:31:58.500 --> 01:32:02.400
Okay, so I I understand why why you're
1801
01:32:01.400 --> 01:32:04.900
 interested in it. Thank you. And so
```

```
1802
01:32:04.900 --> 01:32:07.200
 so if it's just helpful to give you
1803
01:32:07.200 --> 01:32:10.400
 a reference, I think Miss Rhodes was
1804
01:32:10.400 --> 01:32:13.900
 referring to it's as 324.
1805
01:32:15.300 --> 01:32:18.700
Which is the schedule of changes to the Olympic environmental Master
1806
01:32:18.700 --> 01:32:21.600
 plans? Okay, and I'm afraid they're on numbers to
1807
01:32:21.600 --> 01:32:24.700
 the items, but it's on the first page.
1808
01:32:24.700 --> 01:32:28.400
 I think it says olimp PR.
1809
01:32:27.400 --> 01:32:30.400
 Oh W Dash.
1810
01:32:31.100 --> 01:32:34.000
Minor local roads. Yeah applicant to
1811
01:32:34.500 --> 01:32:37.300
 include minor stroke local roads used by
1812
01:32:37.300 --> 01:32:41.500
 the public as part of the pro Network information
1813
01:32:40.500 --> 01:32:43.300
 to be included in the
1814
01:32:43.300 --> 01:32:46.100
 olamp at deadline 7. I think
1815
01:32:46.100 --> 01:32:49.100
```

```
that's helpful. Thanks for referring to yeah. Thank
1816
01:32:49.100 --> 01:32:50.000
 you, Mr. Bedford.
1817
01:32:52.500 --> 01:32:55.200
Thank him. It's coming up
1818
01:32:55.200 --> 01:32:58.600
to quarter to four. So I just really take
1819
01:32:58.600 --> 01:33:01.300
 a break before we break it up. I was the just
1820
01:33:01.300 --> 01:33:03.400
 nothing just just one other point that.
1821
01:33:04.600 --> 01:33:07.300
I was going to address just for
1822
01:33:07.300 --> 01:33:10.300
Mr. Keen asked me about the plans that were being
1823
01:33:10.300 --> 01:33:13.300
 referred to just to
01:33:13.300 --> 01:33:17.200
 be clear on those plans. So the rights of way plans as this
1825
01:33:16.200 --> 01:33:19.200
 road says they have a specific purpose which is to show
1826
01:33:19.200 --> 01:33:22.500
the powers and the order so we're not going to be changing those
1827
01:33:22.500 --> 01:33:25.300
but as she said there's a reference in
1828
01:33:25.300 --> 01:33:28.200
 the OEM schedule a proposed changes to the old lamp which
```

```
1829
01:33:28.200 --> 01:33:31.200
 obviously will follow through with I think the only other point
1830
01:33:31.200 --> 01:33:31.700
was about
1831
01:33:32.600 --> 01:33:35.500
equestrian users and those have
1832
01:33:35.500 --> 01:33:38.700
 been assessed as well in terms of the transport section
1833
01:33:38.700 --> 01:33:41.600
of the es in the
1834
01:33:41.600 --> 01:33:44.400
category of other users of
1835
01:33:44.400 --> 01:33:47.800
 the road Network. So that assessment has already taken
1836
01:33:47.800 --> 01:33:51.300
 place and obviously have
1837
01:33:50.300 --> 01:33:53.800
 been representations on that at various stages. I
01:33:53.800 --> 01:33:54.200
 don't know.
1839
01:33:57.100 --> 01:34:00.400
Of adjust will what's been provided at D7 then
1840
01:34:00.400 --> 01:34:03.500
 in accordance with the undertaking at
1841
01:34:03.500 --> 01:34:08.300
 as 324. We're like distinguish, but
1842
01:34:06.300 --> 01:34:10.200
```

```
Public public
1843
01:34:10.200 --> 01:34:14.600
 footpaths from Bridal ways or I I
01:34:14.600 --> 01:34:18.200
 think no I think the change there's is about roads rather
1845
01:34:17.200 --> 01:34:20.200
 than footpaths and Bridal ways. So
1846
01:34:20.200 --> 01:34:23.200
 it's about identifying roads, which are used as part of the network
which
1847
01:34:23.200 --> 01:34:24.200
 obviously would be open to
1848
01:34:26.600 --> 01:34:29.500
walk Walkers cyclists horse riders.
1849
01:34:29.500 --> 01:34:32.800
 So I think that's what's being
1850
01:34:32.800 --> 01:34:35.300
 contemplated in that in that olamp update.
1851
01:34:35.300 --> 01:34:35.900
 Hmm.
1852
01:34:36.500 --> 01:34:39.600
But I don't I don't I don't know if there's a separate I don't
1853
01:34:39.600 --> 01:34:42.800
 think there was a separate necessarily a separate point about
equestrians from
1854
01:34:42.800 --> 01:34:45.300
what Mr. Ed said Virgil wanted to make clear that
1855
01:34:45.300 --> 01:34:48.200
```

```
we had assess those if there's if there's
1856
01:34:48.200 --> 01:34:51.300
 other information that's required in respective equestrians, then
01:34:51.300 --> 01:34:54.100
 perhaps that could be identified grateful.
1858
01:34:56.100 --> 01:34:56.900
Thanks everybody.
1859
01:34:57.900 --> 01:34:58.800
Mr. Steele
1860
01:34:59.600 --> 01:35:02.400
Do you have something to say on Mary JB? I
1861
01:35:02.400 --> 01:35:05.400
 possibly had say something after the break sir. Yes, that will
1862
01:35:05.400 --> 01:35:09.000
 be very helpful and would it be on this item
1863
01:35:08.100 --> 01:35:11.800
 that we're just leaving hgv accesses exactly
1864
01:35:11.800 --> 01:35:14.400
 that so fine. We'll do that in 20 minutes
1865
01:35:14.400 --> 01:35:16.900
 at five past four. Thanks very much everybody.
```